

**ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC  
ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE**

**and**

**DOMINION ENERGY TRANSMISSION, INC.  
SUPPLY HEADER PROJECT**

**Supplemental Filing  
November 3, 2017**

**APPENDIX C**

**Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline**

APPENDIX C

**Supplemental Summary of Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline**

Agency/Contact Name(s)	Date of Correspondence	Format	Description
<b>STATE/Commonwealth Agencies</b>			
<b>North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources</b>			
Renee Gledhill-Earley, John Mintz, Susan Meyers	10/26/17	Minutes	Atlantic's response to a comment letter regarding the Taylor Cemetery at Site 31WL374.

## **State/Commonwealth Agencies**

## North Carolina Agencies

**North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources**



# ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

## PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

---

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office (NCSHPO)

---

DATE:

October 26, 2017

LOCATION:

Teleconference

---

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Renee Gledhill-Earley - NCSHPO Environmental Review Coordinator  
John Mintz - State Archaeologist  
Susan Meyers - Assistant State Archaeologist  
Richard Gangle – Dominion  
Bill Stanyard - ERM

---

PREPARED BY:

Bill Stanyard

---

MEETING MINUTES:

The NCSHPO responded to Atlantic's request to discuss concerns expressed in a comment letter from the NCSHPO dated October 11, 2017 on the report titled: *Phase II Investigations, Sites 31NS156, 31RB524, and 31WL374; Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project* (Multicounty, ER 14-1475). A letter response dated October 25, 2017 was prepared by Atlantic to address the concerns expressed by the NCSHPO and provide clarifications regarding a small family cemetery (Taylor Cemetery) that ERM delineated during the Phase II investigations at Site 31WL374.

I (Bill Stanyard) contacted Renee Gledhill-Earley (NCSHPO Environmental Review Coordinator) by email on October 25, 2017 to ask if she would be available to discuss the matter by phone. Renee emailed me the morning of October 26, 2017, and stated that she, Susan Meyers (Assistant State Archaeologist), and John Mintz (State Archaeologist) would be available at 10:30 am, and that they would like me to email a copy of the letter response prepared by Atlantic prior to the call. I emailed the response letter to the NCSHPO, and the call took place at 10:30 am. The participants included Renee, Susan, and John; Richard Gangle (Dominion) also participated.

I reviewed the issues expressed in the October 11, 2017 comment letter from the NCSHPO and provided a summary of Atlantic's responses as provided in the letter dated October 25, 2017. All three representatives from North Carolina acknowledged that the responses were appreciated and satisfactory. However, they stated that ERM did not comply with the entirety of North Carolina's Unmarked Human Burial and Skeletal Remains Protection Act, particularly as it applies to N.C.G.S. § 70-29(c) (1): *If the unmarked human burials or human skeletal remains are encountered by a professional archaeologist, as a result of survey or test excavations, the remains may be excavated and other activities may resume after notification, by telephone or registered letter, is provided to the State Archaeologist. The treatment, analysis and disposition of the remains shall come under the provisions of G.S. 70-34 and 70-35.*

I acknowledged that I misinterpreted the law to mean if actual human remains were identified. The work ERM conducted at the small cemetery in question exposed only the tops of the grave

shafts as they appeared immediately below the plow zone – therefore, the State Archaeologist was not notified. The work ERM conducted was done in a professional manner and the methods and results were documented in the technical report mentioned above. All three representatives from North Carolina agreed, but requested that, in the future, ERM make the notifications and take the appropriate steps that are stipulated in the law. They also requested that the NCSHPO and Office of State Archaeology be notified even if the information concerning an unmarked human burial or cemetery is anecdotal, so that the information will be in their records should follow-up measures become necessary.

The call ended after I asked if any additional action needed to be taken by ERM or Dominion. The North Carolina representatives stated that no further action is needed, and that they did not have additional questions.

---

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION REQUIRED:

BY WHOM:

---

Attachments:

cc: Project Files