

**ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC
ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE**

and

**DOMINION ENERGY TRANSMISSION, INC.
SUPPLY HEADER PROJECT**

**Supplemental Filing
October 13, 2017**

APPENDIX H

Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline

APPENDIX H

Supplemental Summary of Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Agency/Contact Name(s)	Date of Correspondence	Format	Description
MULTIPLE AGENCIES			
U.S. Fish and Wildlife, U.S. Forest Service, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation			
Troy Anderson, Troy Morris, J. Christopher Ludwig	10/13/17	Letter	Transmittal of 2017 fall plant survey report for Virginia.
Virginia Department of Historic Resources, Nelson County Administration, Rockfish Valley Foundation, Nelson County Historical Society			
Connie Brennan, Allan Hale, Steve Carter, Sandy Shackelford, Peter Agelasto, Bob Carter, Will Brockenbrough	10/5/17	Minutes	Meeting to discuss impacts on historic properties in Nelson County, Virginia.
Rockfish Valley Foundation, Nelson County Historical Society, Virginia Department of Historic Resources			
Peter Agelasto, Michael, Will Brockenbrough, Elizabeth Richardson	10/6/17	Minutes	Field meeting to review the Coleman Mill Site.
FEDERAL AGENCIES			
National Park Service			
David Morgan	10/10/17	Email	Transmittal of a revised archaeological survey report for the Blue Ridge Parkway.
John McDade	10/10/17	Email	Transmittal of a revised archaeological survey report for the Blue Ridge Parkway.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Norfolk District			
Peter Kube, Steve Gibson,	5/30/17	Minutes	Meeting to provide an update on the ACP and discuss a supplemental filing and compensatory mitigation plan needed to complete the JPA.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – West Virginia Office			
Liz Stout	9/25/17 and 9/27/17	Emails	Transmittal of shapefiles from bat surveys.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – Virginia Office			
Sumalee Hoskin	9/8/17	Email	Responses to questions on bat surveys.
Sumalee Hoskin	9/14/17	Emails	Follow-up response to question on bat surveys.
STATE/COMMONWEALTH AGENCIES			
WEST VIRGINIA AGENCIES			
West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection			
Rick Adams	9/21/17	Email	Transmittal of August 16, 2017 letter from Atlantic to the WV DOT.
Rick Adams	9/21/17	Email	Transmittal of Staff Recommendation from FERC regarding scenic byway crossings.
West Virginia Division of Culture and History			
Susan Pierce	10/12/17	Letter	Transmittal of the second revision to the addendum 5, historic architectural survey report.
Susan Pierce	10/12/17	Letter	Transmittal of the revised assessment of effects report for historic architectural resources.
VIRGINIA AGENCIES			
Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation – Natural Heritage Program			
Renee Hypes	9/22/17	Letter	Comments on invasive plant species management.
Renee Hypes	9/22/17	Letter	Comments on the Handsom-Gum and Emporia Powerline Bog Conservation Sites.

APPENDIX H (continued)

Supplemental Summary of Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Agency/Contact Name(s)	Date of Correspondence	Format	Description
Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation – Division of Planning and Recreational Resources			
Roberta Rhur	9/27/17	Letter	Comments on Atlantic’s September 18, 2017 letter regarding scenic byway crossings.
Virginia Department of Historic Resources			
Roger Kirchen	9/27/17	Letter	Comments on an addendum cultural resources report for the GWNF.
Ethel Eaton	10/10/17	Letter	Transmittal of the revised archaeological survey report for the Blue Ridge Parkway.
Roger Kirchen	10/11/17	Letter	Transmittal of cemetery survey VCRIS deliverables.
Roger Kirchen	10/12/17	Letter	Transmittal of the revised initial assessment of potential effects report for historic architectural resources.
Virginia Department of Transportation and Development			
James Cromwell	9/19/17	Email	Transmittal of August 16, 2017 letter from Atlantic to VDOT.
Heather Williams	9/25/17	Email	Comments on Atlantic’s August 16, 2017 letter regarding scenic byway crossings.
NORTH CAROLINA AGENCIES			
North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources			
Ramona Bartos	9/26/17	Letter	Comments on the addendum 5, archaeological survey report.
Ramona Bartos	10/4/17	Letter	Comments on the cemetery protective treatment plan.
STATE-RECOGNIZED TRIBES			
Coharie Tribe			
Greg Jacobs	3/7/17	Call Log	Call to provide an update on the Project and coordinate a meeting.
Greg Jacobs	3/14/17	Call Log	Call to provide an update on the Project and coordinate a meeting.
Greg Jacobs, Phil Strickland, Amy Hertell	3/15/17	Minutes	Meeting to discuss the Project.
Greg Jacobs	8/10/17	Email	Email to request a follow-up meeting.
Greg Jacobs	8/10/17	Email	Email regarding a potential future meeting.
Greg Jacobs	9/6/17	Call Log	Call to schedule a meeting with the Tribal Council.
Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe			
Brucie Richardson	9/14/16	Call Log	Call to discuss the Project.
Archie Lynch	10/5/16	Call Log	Call to follow-up on a meeting.
Archie Lynch	10/2/17	Email	Email acknowledging a request for cultural resources reports and to request a meeting.
Archie Lynch	10/3/17	Letter	Transmittal of cultural resources reports.
Archie Lynch	10/11/17	Letter	Transmittal of cultural resources reports.
Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina			
Administrative Assistant	3/3/17	Call Log	Call to provide Project information and coordinate a meeting.
Administrative Assistant	3/9/17	Call Log	Call to provide Project information and coordinate a meeting.
Administrative Assistant	3/20/17	Call Log	Call to provide Project information and coordinate a meeting.

APPENDIX H (continued)

Supplemental Summary of Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Agency/Contact Name(s)	Date of Correspondence	Format	Description
Administrative Assistant	3/20/17	Call Log	Call cancelling a meeting.
Tribal Council Member	4/3/17	Call Log	Call to schedule a meeting.
Harvey Godwin	8/15/17	Email	Email requesting a follow-up meeting.
Freda Porter	8/24/17	Email	Email confirming a meeting for September 11, 2017.
Freda Porter	9/16/17	Call Log	Call to discuss scheduling a meeting.
Freda Porter	9/21/17	Call Log	Call confirming a meeting for October 2, 2017.
Freda Porter	9/21/17	Email	Email regarding an upcoming meeting.
Jan Lowry, Tribal Council Members, Health and Human Services Committee	10/2/17	Minutes	Meeting to discuss the Project.
Freda Porter	10/5/17	Emails	Emails to coordinate a meeting on emergency planning management.
Meherrin Indian Tribe			
Wayne Brown	8/10/17	Email	Email requesting a meeting.
OTHER STAKEHOLDERS			
Nelson County Administration			
Steve Carter	10/9/17	Letter	Transmittal of Virginia cultural resources reports.
Rockfish Valley Foundation			
Peter Agelasto	9/26/17	Email	Email to coordinate a meeting at the Coleman Mill Site.
Peter Agelasto	9/27/17	Emails	Emails to coordinate a meeting at the Coleman Mill Site.
Peter Agelasto	9/27/17	Email	Email transmitting a copy of a data response regarding the Coleman Mill Site.
Peter Agelasto	9/29/17	Email	Email inviting the Rockfish Valley Foundation to participate in a meeting with the Nelson County Administration.

Multiple Agencies

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, and Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation



October 13, 2017

BY EMAIL

Troy Andersen
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
6669 Short Lane
Gloucester, Virginia 23061

J. Christopher Ludwig
Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
600 E. Main Street, 24th floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Troy Morris
U.S. Forest Service George Washington National Forest
5162 Valleypointe Parkway
Roanoke, Virginia 24019

Re: Submittal of 2017 Virginia Fall Plant Survey Report, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Dear Mr. Andersen, Mr. Ludwig, and Mr. Morris,

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is pleased to provide the attached 2017 Virginia Segment Survey Report for Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plant Species documenting the results of presence/ probable absence plant surveys. The attached report includes survey results within the current Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Project area in Virginia through October 2, 2017.

Botanical surveys were conducted within the 300-foot-wide ACP study corridor, along 50-foot-wide access roads, and within aboveground facility footprints in 2015, 2016, and 2017. Surveyed areas included the George Washington National Forest (GWNF), Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Division of Natural Heritage (DCR-DNH) Conservation Sites, and areas containing habitat potentially suitable for 7 federally listed plant species and 12 state-listed or state-rare botanical species. A study plan detailing the methodologies and survey areas for the 2016 survey season was submitted on July 7, 2016. USFS-specific survey methodologies were confirmed with the GWNF via email on May 18, 2016, prior to GWNF survey commencement.

No federally listed, state-listed, or GWNF-listed species were identified during Fall 2017 plant surveys. Cumulative results for Spring, Summer, and Fall plant surveys within the current ACP Project area to date are provided in the attached report.

Atlantic will continue to work towards avoidance and minimization of impacts to these species, in coordination with the GWNF, DCR-DNH, and USFWS.

Atlantic requests your review of the enclosed information. We would appreciate your comments and look forward to continuing to work with you on the ACP. Please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,



Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Cc: Jennifer Adams, U.S. Forest Service
Fred Huber, U.S. Forest Service George Washington National Forest
Johnny Townsend, Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation
Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Attachments:

2017 Virginia Segment Survey Report for Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plant Species

**Virginia Department of Historic Resources, Nelson County Administration,
Rockfish Valley Foundation, Nelson County Historical Society**



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

Nelson County Administration, Virginia Department of Historic Resources, Nelson County Historical Society and Rockfish Valley Foundation

DATE:

October 5, 2017

LOCATION:

Nelson County Courthouse
84 Courthouse Square
Lovingston, Virginia

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Roger Kirchen, Virginia Department of Historic Resources
Molly Plautz, Dominion Energy
Brian Wilson, Dominion Energy
Richard Gangle, Dominion Energy
Susan King, Dominion Energy
Connie Brennan, Nelson County Board of Supervisors
Allan Hale, Nelson County Board of Supervisors
Steve Carter, Nelson County
Sandy Shackelford, Nelson County
Peter Agelasto, Rockfish Valley Foundation
Bob Carter, Nelson County Historical Society
Will Brockenbrough, Nelson County Historical Society
Bill Stanyard, ERM
Emily Laird, ERM
Ron Gillett, ERM
Darci Knack, ERM
Ernie Reed, Friends of Nelson

PREPARED BY:

Molly Plautz

MEETING MINUTES:

Opening remarks were provided by Brian Wilson and Connie Brennan. All meeting participants were asked to introduce themselves.

A presentation was given by:

- Brian Wilson gave a project update and provided background on alternatives considered
- Molly Plautz provided an update of the Section 106 review process

- Emily Laird covered information on South Rockfish Valley Historic District and Warminster Historic District
- Bill Stanyard provided an update on Coleman Mills

After the presentation, there was a roundtable discussion to receive information from meeting participants with regard to cultural or historic resource concerns or potential means of minimizing or mitigating impacts.

Discussion:

- Supervisor Brennan requested copies of the cultural resource reports.
- There was discussion about the process and next steps in the Section 106 process.
- Mr. Agelasto commented about the Rockfish Valley Foundation's request to be a consulting party.
- Mr. Agelasto requested maps that show where workspaces and access roads are located, in addition to the centerline.
- Mr. Agelasto mentioned that eligibility is being sought for Mill Hill, a resource in the South Rockfish Valley District.
- There was discussion about the Area of Potential Effects (APE). Mr. Agelasto and Mr. Bob Carter asked whether ½ mile is appropriate for visual impacts. Mr. Agelasto asked whether the entire property boundary is taken into consideration when looking at the ½ mile APE, specifically focused on Elk Hill.
- Mr. Bob Carter commented that the assessment of effects shouldn't be limited on impacts to individual resources but should look at impacts to the district overall. He said that the Historical Society's' main concerns were related to architecture and were included in their letter to FERC.
- Mr. Carter also noted that Simpson Mausoleum should be considered a historic structure, not just an archaeological resource. Mr. Bob Carter also stated that the Scott Cabin should be individually eligible.
- There was a discussion about the Coleman Mill site. A follow-up site visit took place on Friday, October 6, 2017.
- Mr. Agelasto asked questions related to ACP's plan with regard to invasive species.
- There was a discussion of the next steps in the process involving identifying appropriate minimization and mitigation options. Mr. Agelasto asked about the option of drilling to avoid Coleman Mill area. Mr. Kirchen offered that an example of minimization could include replanting vegetation in the right-of-way to reduce visual impacts.

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION REQUIRED:

- Provide County with copies of cultural reports
- Provide County and participants with maps of historic districts showing access roads, temporary workspace and centerline

BY WHOM:

--

Attachments:

cc: Project Files

Rockfish Valley Foundation, Nelson County Historical Society, Virginia Department of Historic Resources



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

Virginia Department of Historic Resources, Rockfish Valley Foundation, and Nelson County Historical Society

DATE:

October 6, 2017

LOCATION:

Coleman Mill Site
Nelson County, Virginia

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Bill Stanyard, ERM
Larry McKee, ERM
Emily Laird, ERM
Peter Agelasto, Rockfish Valley Foundation
Ron Baker, Dominion
Ray Givens, Dominion
Josh Mitchell, Dominion
Michael Pulice, VDHR
Will Brockenbrough, Nelson County Historical Society
Elizabeth Richardson Craig Cooper
Gene Hughes

PREPARED BY:

Molly Plautz

MEETING MINUTES:

- Met at the Rockfish Valley Foundation Headquarters at 11:00 am.
- We discussed the history of the area and the goals/mission of the Rockfish Valley Foundation.
- We had a general discussion on mill sites within the South Rockfish Valley Rural Historic District:
 - Mr. Agelasto indicated that the information and location for Coleman Mill, Goodwin Mill, and other resources in VCRIS is inaccurate. He stated that updates have been sent to Roger Kirchen at VDHR and we need to get the updated information from Roger.
 - Mr. Agelasto expressed an interest in having VCRIS updated to have the Coleman Mill complex, which includes the Brady House site (Archaeological Site 44NE209), be recommended eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) on an individual basis and not just a contributing resource to the district.
- Mr. Agelasto believes the viewshed of additional historic properties not currently considered as within the project Area of Potential Effect (APE) will be adversely affected. They include:
 - Elk Hill;
 - Mill Hill (has been determined eligible by the state review board and will be registered by March 2018);
 - River Bluff; and
 - Other unspecified resources.

- Field visit:
 - We began by visiting the Coleman Mill ruins adjacent to Rockfish Valley Foundation headquarters at the intersection of Highway 151 and Glenthorne Road. Mr. Agelasto and others provided information on the dates of operation, condition of the structure at various times in the relatively recent past, and that there are plans for the original mill wheel to be returned. The ruins currently consist of a concrete foundation, mill wheel housing, and short tail race; the remnants of an earthen race that redirected water from Spruce Creek to the mill enters from the southwest. The ruins are being preserved in place until funding for archaeological investigations can be secured. With the exception of the earthen race remnant, which extends southward to the Brady House site, the ruins are outside the direct and visual APE
 - The group walked southwestward down the earthen race to the Brady House site, which includes a stacked stone chimney and foundation remnants; the race is no longer visible over portions of the site but it reappears where it enters Spruce Creek west of the site. It was determined that the planned workspace will intersect a short segment of the existing race, but will avoid the Brady House site in general. Mr. Agelasto and others expressed concern that any portion of the site, including the race, was going to be impacted and that Mr. Agelasto needed to see a detailed map of the area with the workspace shown, and also have the workspace marked out on the ground before they would comment further.
- Mr. Agelasto also indicated that a mill pond and icehouse were once present, but he is not sure of their location.
- Mr. Agelasto was concerned that the Highway 151 bridge was going to be impacted, but he was shown that the workspace crosses the road north of the bridge
- Mr. Agelasto strongly advocates for a Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) and route deviation to avoid impacts to the entire mill complex and requested that we bring this to Greg Park's attention again.
- The group crossed Highway 151 and walked northwest to the Goodwin Mill, which is also known as the Harris Mill and Slaughter Mill. It is in ruins and currently consists of a large stone foundation and the remnants of a race. The entire complex is outside the project survey corridor and APE.
- Wrap-up discussion:
 - Mr. Agelasto wants to make sure that Dominion is doing all the archaeological investigations required by law. He would like Coleman Mill, the Brady House site, and the race considered as one archaeological resource and that this resource is recommended eligible for the NRHP so he can get outside funding for archaeological investigations. ERM indicated that the archaeological work for the ACP was restricted to the survey corridor.
 - Mr. Agelasto wants assurance that no work will be done in this area until a full archaeological investigation of the mill complex is complete, even if South Rockfish Valley Foundation needs to secure private funding.
 - Mr. Agelasto reiterated his desire to add Coleman Mill and other resources, including some that have not yet been identified, to the list of NRHP eligible resources within the district, and that he was against the pipeline passing through the district in general.
- Meeting closed at 1:15 pm.

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION REQUIRED:

-

BY WHOM:

Attachments:

cc: Project Files

Federal Agencies

National Park Service



October 10, 2017

David Morgan
Director
NPS Southeast Archeological Center
2035 East Paul Dirac Drive
Johnson Building, Suite 120
Tallahassee, FL 32310-3713

Subject: Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Blue Ridge Parkway Crossing, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project ARPA Permit #BLRI-2015-01

Dear Mr. Morgan:

Please find enclosed two hard copies and one digital copy on CD of the *Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway*. It addresses the comments provided to Mr. John McDade (NPS) by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources (VDHR) that he forwarded by email to William Stanyard (ERM) on August 24, 2017.

If you have any questions, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)
Ann Loomis (Dominion Energy)
Mary Krueger (National Park Service)

Enclosure: **Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway**



October 10, 2017

John McDade
Cultural Resources Manager
Blue Ridge Parkway
828-348-3438
199 Hemphill Knob Road
Asheville, NC 28803

Subject: Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Blue Ridge Parkway Crossing, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project ARPA Permit #BLRI-2015-01

Dear Mr. McDade:

Please find enclosed one copy of the *Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway*. It addresses the comments provided by the Virginia Department of Historic Resources (VDHR) that you forwarded to William Stanyard (ERM) by email on August 24, 2017. As requested, one copy of the report is being submitted to Ms. Ethel Eaton at the VDHR and two hard copies and one digital copy are being submitted to Mr. David Morgan at the NPS Southeast Archeological Center.

If you have any questions, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)
Ann Loomis (Dominion Energy)
Mary Krueger (National Park Service)

Enclosure: **Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway**

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Norfolk District



**ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE
MEETING MINUTES**

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Norfolk District
Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Coordination Meeting

DATE:

May 30, 2017

LOCATION:

Norfolk District Office
Norfolk, Virginia

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Peter Kube – Chief, Eastern Section, Regulatory - USACE Norfolk District (NAO)
Steve Gibson – Project Manager, Regulatory - USACE Norfolk District (NAO)
Spencer Trichell – Environmental Consultant – Atlantic Coast Pipeline - Dominion Energy Resources, Inc.
Linda Morrison – Senior Advisor, USACE Process - Dawson & Associates, Inc. – Dominion Contractor
Wade Hammer – ACP Permitting - Environmental Resources Management – Dominion Contractor
Rick Atkinson - Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC – Dominion Contractor
T.J Mascia - Resource Environmental Solutions, LLC – Dominion Contractor (via phone)

PREPARED BY:

Wade Hammer

MEETING MINUTES:

Meeting Purpose: To provide an update for the project and discuss draft supplemental filing and compensatory mitigation plans to complete the Joint Permit Application.

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) Process and Construction Schedule Updates:

Spencer Trichell opened the meeting with an update on the FERC process. Spencer noted that the FERC had extended the Notice of Schedule for the final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) 21 days, which will now result in a July 21, 2017 issued FEIS. Spencer acknowledged that Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) continues to work with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and that finalization of Section 7 consultation process between FERC and USFWS and the Section 106 process with the state historic preservation offices is anticipated later in the fall 2017. Spencer noted that FERC requires that these consultations be finalized in order to issue its Notice to Proceed for the project. Based on the current FERC schedule, the targeted 90-day Federal Permit Decision Deadline is October 19, 2017. Peter Kube stated that the NAO would also need complete consultations for Sections 7/106 prior to issuing a Nationwide Permit (NWP) Verification authorizing the project. Spencer stated that the USFWS indicated that the applicant-prepared Biological Assessment is complete, however FERC has not yet initiated formal consultation.

Draft PCN Supplement Review:

NAO provided comments to Atlantic on the draft Application Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) Supplement, and Wade Hammer stated that this information will be incorporated into the final PCN Supplement package to be submitted to NAO. Spencer asked when the NAO would be ready to receive the final PCN Supplement, and Peter and Steve stated that receiving it in June would be reasonable, with Steve noting that is what had been discussed previously. Spencer asked if by submitting the final PCN Supplement well ahead of completing the final Section 7/106 consultations,

would the NAO then be required to send a notice of incomplete PCN letter. Peter stated that as long as the application was substantially complete missing only outstanding pieces of information that NAO is aware that Atlantic is working on, they would not need to issue an incomplete letter, but it would be helpful if Atlantic acknowledges the missing information and provides an outlined schedule for delivery in the final PCN Supplement that is submitted.

Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA/Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA)): Spencer also noted that the MBTA and BGEPA Plan had been submitted to the USFWS/FERC, and Steve indicated he had seen the plan. Spencer also acknowledged that Atlantic had filed applications to disturb three eagles and this was under USFWS.

Section 106 Coordination: Battlefield view-shed impacts were discussed, and Spencer stated that Atlantic was working with the cultural agency to get concurrence of the findings, and that a recovery plan would be submitted, and ultimately FERC would obtain a Memorandum of Understanding with the consulting parties.

Virginia Coastal Zone Management, 401 Water Quality Processes, and Stormwater Permitting: The Virginia Coastal Zone Management consistency determination review, which the NWP general conditions require, is anticipated to be completed in early June 2017. VDEQ is expected to finalize their review in early June 2017.

VDEQ review of the state delegated Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, Water Quality Certification (WQC), has been recently discussed between VDEQ and Atlantic. VDEQ anticipates utilizing the blanket General WQC issued for NWP 12 for those activities that result in the discharge of dredged or fill material into wetlands and waters of the U.S. regulated by USACE under NWP 12. However, VDEQ has requested additional information to conduct a supplemental review of the project with respect to upland impacts that may indirectly affect state waters not within the scope of the NWP 12. A review of submitted information from Atlantic to VDEQ will likely result in additional conditions included in an Individual WQC issued for these activities in uplands that VDEQ will coordinate through a public notice process and the Water Board will hold hearings in October 2017 to render a permit decision. Peter Kube stated that he would discuss the VDEQ process with the NAO counsel to verify that the blanket authorization was sufficient for USACE verification of NWP 12.

Spencer provided an overview of the anticipated stormwater permitting process in Virginia. Spencer explained that Dominion has an annual program whereby VDEQ reviews and approves "Annual Standards and Specifications" that Dominion utilizes on projects that qualify for the program in Virginia. Dominion has been working with VDEQ to coordinate public participation as part of their review of erosion and sediment control (ESC) drawings for the ACP.

Mitigation Plan & Mitigation Sites:

Wetland Compensatory Mitigation Ratios: Wetland compensatory mitigation ratios were discussed and Linda provided a summary table comparing ratios by impact types (e.g., loss by type, permanent conversion of type, and temporary impacts) based on conversations with both NAO and Wilmington District (SAW) staff to date. The following compensatory mitigation ratios were outlined for loss and permanent conversion impacts:

- PFO, PSS, and PEM loss 2:1
- PFO to PSS permanent conversion 1:1

- PFO to PEM permanent conversion 1:1

NAO will coordinate review of the PSS to PEM permanent conversion mitigation requirements with SAW staff when they discuss the temporary impacts to PFO within the construction corridor (see next paragraph below) and get back to Atlantic with mitigation requirement for PSS to PEM permanent conversion.

The ACP team shared that SAW staff have reevaluated the need to actively replant trees in the temporary impacted portions of the construction corridor where clearing of PFO wetlands will occur. The ACP team reviewed the ROW impacts. Peter and Steve indicated that they will coordinate with SAW, advising it is important for NAO and SAW to remain consistent and concurred with SAW that it is not necessary to require active planting of the temporary impacted portions of PFO wetlands, other than to reseed with a native wetland seed mix since these areas will reestablish naturally with stumps left in place.

Conceptual Mitigation Plan: Rick Atkinson (Dominion Mitigation Contractor) provided an overview of plans for compensatory mitigation in the NAO. In the majority of affected watersheds sufficient mitigation bank credits are available and will be utilized as a first option. Where mitigation credits are not available, advanced credits from the Virginia Aquatic Resources Trust Fund (VARTF) will be purchased. Within portions of the Chowan Basin (8-digit HUCs 03010201, 03010202 and 03010204) where the supply of mitigation bank credits is limited, development of an approved but unbuilt portion of the Cheroenhaka Wetland Mitigation Bank (Cheroenhaka) will be utilized as permittee-responsible mitigation (PRM). Peter stated that Atlantic should explain in the conceptual compensatory mitigation plan why the proposed Cheroenhaka PRM approach was the preferred compensatory mitigation alternative in accordance with the 2008 Mitigation Rule.

Peter asked about progress toward resolving ACP proposed crossings of the two existing wetland mitigation bank sites, Cheroenhaka and Chesapeake, and the one PRM wetland mitigation site under a another issued permit, Hampton Roads Airport. Spencer provided a status, based on a recent NAO interagency review team (IRT) meeting and follow-up with IRT lead Jeanne Richardson.

- Hampton Roads Airport PRM Crossing: For the Hampton Roads Airport site, a horizontal direction drill has been proposed for avoiding surface disturbance at the site, which allows for other constraint avoidance in the immediate area, including crossing of a four-lane highway and railroad track with the same drill. This will require coordinating easement review to confirm that the pipeline easement is consistent with the mitigation site easement, and then permission to cross the site will be relatively straight-forward.
- Chesapeake Wetland Mitigation Bank (CWMB) Crossing: For the CWMB, for which RES is owner and administrator the process will require modifying the mitigation bank instrument and conservation easement plat to remove the pipeline easement from the mitigation site (adjust the boundary of the CWMB), and credits that are lost will be pulled from the bank credit ledger. Compensatory mitigation on site will be covered in the permit, and Atlantic will buy credits from the CWMB to compensate for the impacts to wetlands within the CWMB. CWMB will also be used for other compensatory mitigation for the ACP.
- Cheroenhaka Wetland Mitigation Bank Crossing: The proposed crossing of the Cheroenhaka Wetland Mitigation Bank site was previously discussed with NAO's IRT, and Atlantic is working with the bank owner and administrator, through the IRT, to gain approval to cross, adjust the MBI

as necessary, and to remove the necessary credits from the bank credit ledger. Spencer will review the CE status with the site owner.

Atlantic is currently working to respond to an NAO IRT request for information to address easement language, evaluation of credit impacts, and other requested information for all three sites.

Linda asked if NAO Office of Counsel will review the mitigation plan for the ACP. Peter stated that Office of Counsel will review everything included in the application and subsequent decision documents.

Access Roads (AR) Improvements:

Spencer stated that Atlantic is currently in the process of further examining and evaluating AR improvements that would impact streams. The ACP team is looking at existing culverts and where improvements are needed in order to provide additional details in the permit application.

The group discussed a few scenarios for clarification of stream impacts, as follows:

- Rock ford crossing of a stream – would be temporary as long as it was removed after construction, or within one year.
- Replacement of culvert within a stream of the same length – temporary impact; if the culvert was lengthened then the additional length would be a permanent impact.
- Temporary fill – is allowed for up to one year

Linda Morrison noted that SAW had instructed that impacts for streams should be included in the application as both a length and acreage, but within the ORM table it should be in linear feet.

Action Items

1. **SAW March 28, 2017 Final Meeting Notes** - Atlantic will send Steve Gibson a copy of the final SAW March 2017 meeting minutes, with a correction to the header per Steve's note.
Current Status: Provided along with distribution of these notes week of June 26, 2017.
2. **Mitigation Plan** –
 - a. NAO staff will discuss with SAW staff the mitigation plan requirements including a consistent approach for PSS to PEM permanent conversion impacts, and will respond back to Atlantic.
Current Status: Compensatory mitigation for PSS to PEM permanent conversion, is being required by NAO and SAW and will be provided by Atlantic at a 1:1 compensation ratio as well as reseeding the wetland areas with native wetland seed mix.
 - b. Peter stated that Atlantic should explain in the conceptual compensatory mitigation plan why the proposed Cheroenhaka PRM approach was the preferred compensatory mitigation alternative in accordance with the 2008 Mitigation Rule.
3. **VDEQ 401 WQC** - Peter Kube will discuss the VDEQ 401 WQC process with NAO Office of Counsel with regard to the VDEQ additional evaluation of activities in uplands impacts to State waters outside those activities covered under NWP 12 and whether this VDEQ action will have any effect on NAO's NWP 12 evaluation and verification process and get back to Atlantic with a response.
4. **NAO IRT Wetland Bank/PRM Site Crossings** - Spencer is going to continue to coordinate response to the NAO IRT information request with Jeanne Richardson.

- 5. Final PCN Supplement for ACP** - Atlantic is to acknowledge any missing information for a complete PCN and is to provide an outlined schedule for delivery in the final PCN Supplement that is submitted.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service – West Virginia Office

Jaclyn Martin

From: Maggie Voth
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 3:04 PM
To: Stout, Elizabeth; Sara Thronson
Subject: RE: updated shapefile?
Attachments: Bats_HibernaculaSurveyStatus_20170927.gdb.zip

Hi Liz,

The attached files include the remaining survey area and sites for Phase 1, Phase 2, and pedestrian hibernacula surveys (Phase 0). The current pedestrian survey acreage remaining is down to 172.8 acres within a quarter-mile of the centerline as of today.

Thank you, and please let us know if you need additional files.
Maggie

Maggie Voth

Environmental Resources Management (ERM)

T 612.347.7869 | M 651.764.0445

E maggie.voth@erm.com | W www.erm.com

From: Stout, Elizabeth [mailto:elizabeth_stout@fws.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 9:04 AM
To: Sara Thronson; Maggie Voth
Subject: updated shapefile?

Do you have a more recent shapefile for areas where pedestrian surveys for hibernacula have not yet been completed and an updated shapefile for status of phase 1 and phase 2 surveys? The current one I have says 210 acres haven't had pedestrian surveys, but it seems we have more recent info stating 178 acres remain?

Thanks for your help,

--

Liz Stout

Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
West Virginia Field Office
694 Beverly Pike
Elkins, WV 26241
(304) 636 6586 x15

<http://www.fws.gov/westvirginiafieldoffice/index.html>

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - Virginia Office

From: Maggie Voth
Sent: Friday, September 08, 2017 5:38 PM
To: 'Hoskin, Sumalee'
Cc: Sara Thronson
Subject: Bat survey remaining questions for the BO

Hi Sumalee,

As requested, here's a breakdown of what we talked about earlier today for our records. I did double check my numbers, since I was pulling things up on the fly this afternoon, so the numbers below do differ slightly from what we talked about on the phone. The questions you had are paraphrased in bold below, my responses based on our discussions and the survey tracking data are below each one.

1. Does the 178 acres of pedestrian hibernacula survey remaining (referenced in the email to Liz Stout on 8/31/17) apply to WV, VA, or both states? How does that compare to Phase 1 and 2 surveys and how many sites remain for those surveys?

The pedestrian hibernacula survey was essentially "Phase 0" of the hibernacula survey and was our attempt to physically walk karst-prone areas in WV to search for hibernacula that weren't already identified in the available speleological society or karst datasets. That pedestrian survey was specific to WV and did not have an equivalent in VA, so the acreage for remaining surveys is entirely on the ACP in WV and represents the areas where we have not yet been able to gain survey access from landowners.

When an karst feature or cave was found during that pedestrian (Phase 0) survey or during desktop assessment of karst and cave data in either WV or VA, then the site received a Phase 1 survey to determine bat habitat suitability. Phase 2 surveys to determine bat occupancy followed, if the site was deemed suitable for bat habitation during Phase 1 assessment. At present, there are 35 sites in WV still awaiting access for Phase 1 surveys (33 on ACP, 2 on SHP); Phase 1 surveys are complete in VA. Phase 2 surveys are being actively completed at the moment, but as of COB today, there are 7 Phase 2 survey sites remaining, 6 in WV and 1 in VA. We expect to finish 3 of those sites next week, but the remaining 4 (all in WV) have been denied access.

2. In the FEIS on page 4-247, Table 4.7.1-1 stated that there were 80 acoustic sites and 9 mist netting sites remaining for Indiana bats. How many sites are remaining now, after summer surveys after complete?

There are 4 acoustic sites remaining, all in VA (apologies, I had this number wrong this afternoon).

There are no outstanding mist net survey sites remaining on ACP or SHP. That said, there were two sites in North Carolina that were not completed because acoustic calls were detected prior to a route shift, leaving no suitable locations for survey in the project area. Since additional no survey is planned at those sites, they're not considered to be incomplete or missing, but they are noted in the 2017 presence/likely absence survey report for acoustic detection tracking purposes.

3. In regards to tree clearing acreages, can you provide the acres of tree clearing for Indiana bat winter habitat (hibernacula buffers), split by state? Can you also provide the miles of centerline and access roads associated with that acreage?

This is in progress and I should have it for you on Monday.

Thank you and have a good weekend,

Maggie

Maggie Voth
Project Scientist

Environmental Resources Management (ERM)

1000 IDS Center

80 S. Eighth Street | Minneapolis | MN | 55402

T 612.347.7869 | **M** 651.764.0445

E maggie.voth@erm.com | **W** www.erm.com

Jaclyn Martin

From: Hoskin, Sumalee <sumalee_hoskin@fws.gov>
Sent: Thursday, September 14, 2017 11:30 PM
To: Maggie Voth
Cc: Sara Thronson
Subject: Re: Bat survey remaining questions for the BO

Maggie,
Thanks, I appreciate the breakdown!
Sumalee

Sumalee Hoskin
US Fish & Wildlife Service
6669 Short Lane
Gloucester, VA 23061

Tel: 804-693-6694 ex. 2414

Fax: 804-693-9032

Visit us at <http://www.fws.gov/northeast/virginiafield/>

On Thu, Sep 14, 2017 at 11:07 AM, Maggie Voth <Maggie.Voth@erm.com> wrote:

Hi Sumalee,

The tree clearing acres and mileage within Indiana bat winter habitat from #3 in the previous email are provided below.

We split out new and existing access roads to give you a better idea of the actual clearing acreages along roadways. Most of the roads proposed for ACP within the Indiana bat buffers already exist and will need much less clearing than the acreages below would suggest.

Virginia

- 13.9 miles of centerline within occupied forest
- 15.1 miles of access road within occupied forest

- 0.3 miles along new access roads
- 14.8 miles along existing access roads
- 274.7 acres of workspace within occupied forest (including along new and existing access road workspaces)
 - 220.6 acres of workspace, not including access roads
 - 1.1 acres of workspace along new access roads
 - 53.0 acres of workspace along existing access roads

West Virginia

- 20.4 miles of centerline within occupied forest
- 26.0 miles of access road within occupied forest
 - 0.1 miles along new access roads
 - 25.9 miles along existing access roads
- 424.4 acres of workspace within occupied forest (including new and existing access road workspaces)
 - 332.6 acres of workspace, not including access roads
 - 0.1 acres of workspace along new access roads
 - 91.6 acres of workspace along existing access roads

Please let us know if you have any additional questions.

Maggie Voth

State/Commonwealth Agencies

West Virginia Agencies

West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection

From: Doug Lake
Sent: Thursday, September 21, 2017 1:19 PM
To: Rick.D.Adams@WV.gov
Cc: Richard Gangle; Pat Robblee
Subject: Emailing - 170816 USDOT and WVDOT - Scenic Road Crossings.pdf

Rick:

Thanks for the call back, it was good talking to you. Attached is the letter that Dominion sent to the WVDOT and USDOT in August of this year concerning the crossing of U.S. Highway 119/33 (also known as the Parkersburg/Staunton Highway). The attached letter describes the crossing location and construction and the attached map depicts the proposed construction footprint associated with the road crossing. As the letter describes, the road will be bored such that surface disturbance should not occur within approximately 230 feet of the highway on the north side and about 100 feet on the south side of the highway.

If you have any questions, please give Richard Gangle at Dominion a call. His contact information is in the letter.

Thanks so much for your review of this issue.

Douglas J. Lake

Senior Partner

ERM

1000 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402

T+612 347-7875 | M+612 669-1893

E doug.lake@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

From: Doug Lake
Sent: Thursday, September 21, 2017 1:31 PM
To: Rick.D.Adams@WV.gov
Cc: Richard Gangle; Pat Robblee
Subject: Scenic By-Ways Language from FEIS

Rick:

Per your request, I am enclosing below the portion of the FEIS that summarizes what FERC has concluded and is recommending for the crossing of scenic by-ways on the ACP project (see the first paragraph below). If you have any comments, please include them in a letter to Richard Gangle at Dominion. Conversely, if WV DEP has no further comments based on the information provided in the letter I sent you previously dated August 16th, a note to that affect would also be appreciated so that it can be forwarded to the FERC.

ACP would cross scenic byways where mitigation for clearing the construction and operational right-of-way would be determined on a site-specific basis, depending on the assessment of the feature and the expected level of permanent visual impact that may result from tree removal for construction and operation of the pipeline facilities. Atlantic committed to consulting with state and local agencies regarding the appropriate mitigation measures to be implemented at roadway crossings; however, this information is pending. Therefore, we are recommending that Atlantic file site-specific visual mitigation measures for each scenic byway developed in consultation with the appropriate federal, state, or local agency.

On NFS lands, Atlantic conducted a draft Visual Impact Assessment. The draft Visual Impact Assessment analyzes the project's impacts on the scenic classifications based on KOPs identified on the MNF and GWNF. It also includes visual simulations from KOPs on the eastern and western side of the ANST and BRP crossing to determine if the pipeline right-of-way required for the direct pipe option would be visible. A revised draft Visual Impact Assessment also includes additional KOPs identified by the forests, NPS, and ATC in response to comments. The Visual Impact Assessment has not been finalized as of the issuance of this final EIS. Once the Visual Impact Assessment is completed, the FS would work with Atlantic to incorporate any mitigation measures that may be needed to ensure consistency with LRMPs into the *COM Plan* or Special Use Permit (SUP) for NFS lands. Consultations with the MNF, GWNF, and ATC are ongoing.

Atlantic is currently identifying areas of ecologically sensitive areas crossed by the proposed AP-1 mainline within the MNF and GWNF where the construction right-of-way can be narrowed from 125 feet to 75 feet. Atlantic is working with the MNE and GWNF to identify locations where a narrowed right-of-

Best,

Doug

Douglas J. Lake

Senior Partner

ERM

1000 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402

T+612 347-7875 | M+612 669-1893

E doug.lake@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The world's leading sustainability consultancy

West Virginia Division of Culture and History



October 12, 2017

Ms. Susan M. Pierce
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
West Virginia Division of Culture and History
1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East
Charleston, West Virginia 25305-0300

**Subject: Section 106 Review – Phase I Historic Architectural Survey Report Revised
Addendum 5
Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
FR#: 14-928-Multi**

Dear Ms. Pierce:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed revised addendum architectural survey report and associated deliverables on investigations conducted for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). Revisions are based on the September 1, 2017 letter. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed document, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Enclosure: **Phase I Historic Architectural Survey Report Revised Addendum 5-revised,
Revised HPI forms**



October 12, 2017

Ms. Susan M. Pierce
Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer
West Virginia Division of Culture and History
1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East
Charleston, West Virginia 25305-0300

**Subject: Section 106 Review – Phase I Historic Architectural Survey Report Revised
Assessment of Effects
Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
FR: 15-171-MULTI-29 and 15-171-MULTI-30**

Dear Ms. Pierce:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed revised assessment of effects architectural survey report conducted for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). Revisions are based on the September 1, 2017 letter. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed document, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Enclosure: **Phase I Historic Architectural Survey Assessment of Effects Report -revised**

Virginia Agencies

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation - Natural Heritage Program

Molly Joseph Ward
Secretary of Natural Resources

Clyde E. Cristman
Director



Rochelle Altholz
Deputy Director of
Administration and Finance

David C. Dowling
Deputy Director of
Soil and Water Conservation
and Dam Safety

Thomas L. Smith
Deputy Director of Operations

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND RECREATION

September 22, 2017

Richard Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Re: Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP), Invasive Plant Species Management Plan

Dear Mr. Gangle:

The Department of Conservation and Recreation's Division of Natural Heritage's (DCR-DNH) mission is conserving Virginia's biodiversity through inventory, protection, and stewardship. Natural heritage resources are defined as the habitat of rare, threatened, or endangered plant and animal, unique or exemplary natural communities, and significant geologic formations.

This letter is in response to Dominion's August 31, 2017 letter regarding the Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Invasive Plant Species Management Plan. DCR reiterates its invasive plant species management comments provided for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the Final Environmental Impact Statement and associated documents below:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline Draft EIS, March 31, 2017

4.4.8 General Impacts and Mitigation on Federal Lands

- DCR-DNH requests additional information on how the 10 foot wide permanent right of way centered over the pipeline would be maintained in an herbaceous state.
- DCR-DNH recommends the same management style be applied to the pipeline right-of-way as with other Dominion transmission line right-of-ways for rare plants.
- DCR-DNH recommends the adjacent pipeline right of way and transmission right of way should be managed as one unit within the three "bog" conservation sites: Handsom-Gum Powerline, Emporia Powerline Bog, and Branchville Powerline.

Appendix - Restoration and Rehabilitation Plan, Rev 4, FERC Accession Number 20170110-5142, filed 1-10-2017

- DCR-DNH recommends mowing of the pipeline corridor as the preferred right-of-way maintenance method over the use of herbicide in these sensitive areas.
- DCR-DNH supports not using lime or fertilizer within 100' of wetlands as stated in document.

- DCR-DNH requests detailed plans for monitoring of restoration success in areas that are allowed to naturally revegetate and areas where plantings or seed mixes are used for restoration. If plans deviate from the proposed revegetation and monitoring plans included in the draft EIS, DCR-DNH recommends re-coordination with this office.

Appendix G, Non-Native Invasive Plant Species Management Plan, within Draft Construction, Operations, and Maintenance Plans

- DCR-DNH supports the implementation of an Invasive Species Management Plan, and the use of the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (VDACS) Noxious Weed List. However, DCR-DNH also recommends use of the Virginia Invasive Plant Species List (<http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/natural-heritage/invspdflist>).
- Please note that special concern exists for the spread of Wavyleaf grass (*Oplismenus undulatifolius*) during construction and maintenance of the pipeline and the pipeline right-of-way.
- DCR-DNH supports sanitization of all construction equipment daily to prevent the spread and introduction of invasive species.
- DCR-DNH suggests pre-construction, during construction, and post-construction monitoring for invasive species with the post-construction monitoring completed after the end of the first complete growing season following the completion of the project.
- DCR-DNH recommends that disturbed areas be inspected for invasive species twice during each growing season for a period of not less than five years after project completion, and that when observed, invasive species be eradicated as appropriate for species and setting, per coordination with the DCR-DNH.

From ACP Final EIS Comments, August 21, 2017


II. Specific Comments on the FEIS and associated documents

C. Noxious Weeds and Other Invasive Plants 4.4.4.(FEIS page 4-157)

- DCR-DNH continues to recommend the use of the Virginia Invasive Plant Species List (<http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/natural-heritage/invspdflist>) for the development of the invasive species plan for this project. Control of a broader more robust group of invasive species based on scientific risk assessment and as approved by the Virginia Invasive Species Working Group will increase the likelihood of survival of rare species threatened by increased potential for invasion due to pipeline construction.

Should you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at (804) 371-2708 or Jason Bulluck, Natural Heritage Director at 804-786-8377. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the ACP Invasive Plant Species Management Plan.

Sincerely,



S. René Hypes
Natural Heritage Project Review Coordinator

Molly Joseph Ward
Secretary of Natural Resources

Clyde E. Cristman
Director



Rochelle Altholz
*Deputy Director of
Administration and Finance*

David C. Dowling
*Deputy Director of
Soil and Water Conservation
and Dam Safety*

Thomas L. Smith
Deputy Director of Operations

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND RECREATION

September 22, 2017

Richard Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Re: Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) and DCR Conservation Sites-Handsom-Gum and Emporia Powerline Bog

Dear Mr. Gangle:

The Department of Conservation and Recreation's Division of Natural Heritage's (DCR) mission is conserving Virginia's biodiversity through inventory, protection, and stewardship. Natural heritage resources are defined as the habitat of rare, threatened, or endangered plant and animal, unique or exemplary natural communities, and significant geologic formations. Conservation sites are polygons built around one or more rare plant, animal, or natural community designed to include the resource(s) and, where possible, its associated habitat, and buffer or other adjacent land thought necessary for the element's conservation.

This letter is in response to Dominion's July 11, 2017 letter regarding the proposed mitigation measures to offset potential impacts to the DCR Handsom-Gum and the Emporia Conservation Sites from the construction and operation of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline. DCR-DNH reiterates its August 3, 2016 recommendations for protection of these conservation sites including conducting groundwater hydrology studies as discussed in the January 12, 2015 and the January 19, 2017 meetings and the June 27, 2016 site visit with Atlantic. The groundwater hydrology studies was not included as part of the strategies to protect the Emporia Powerline Bog and Handsom-Gum Powerline Conservation Sites in the Dominion July 11, 2017 letter. However in the ACP Final Environmental Impact Statement on page 4-148 "Atlantic stated it would complete the hydrologic studies and file the results with the VDCR and FERC in the second quarter 2018." If a hydrology study is conducted in 2018 and hydrological impacts are identified concurrent with the pipeline construction, it may be too late to re-route the pipeline to avoid impacts to these sensitive areas. When thorough hydrologic studies have been completed and the results provided, DCR-DNH will make additional comments on protecting the integrity of Emporia Powerline Bog and Handsom-Gum Powerline Conservation Sites. Detailed hydrologic studies are essential to predicting the impacts of pipeline construction on these fragile seepage habitats and the rare species they contain. References in the July 11, 2017 letter to impermeable clay layers located between 1 and 5 feet below the soil emphasize the potential for the pipeline to interfere with normal water movement in these strata, endangering the seepage habitats. Without long term (at least a year) groundwater hydrology studies, DCR-DNH cannot determine whether the proposed mitigation measures will be protective of these sensitive areas and the rare plants they support. Therefore due to the potential for adverse impacts to these conservation sites from the construction and operation of the pipeline, DCR-DNH continues to recommend avoidance of the Emporia Powerline Bog and Handsom-Gum Powerline Conservation Sites.

Regarding proposed soil disturbance and plant removal during construction, DCR-DNH supports segregation of topsoil and replacement after construction. The native seed bank should be relied on for revegetation of these


600 East Main Street, 24th Floor | Richmond, Virginia 23219 | 804-786-6124

*State Parks • Soil and Water Conservation • Outdoor Recreation Planning
Natural Heritage • Dam Safety and Floodplain Management • Land Conservation*

areas as much as possible but when other revegetation efforts are deemed necessary, DCR-DNH would like to be included in any decisions regarding temporary cover crops or seed mixes. DCR-DNH has already commented on seed mixes for other sections of the pipeline but not these two seepage sites, which have uniquely adapted species. In the event that rare plants are to be directly impacted (destroyed) during pipeline construction at the Handsom-Gum Conservation Site, DCR-DNH supports removal of these plants to another facility for the duration of construction, followed by replacement and post construction monitoring of these sites to determine success of restoration efforts.

Should you have any questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at 804-371-2708 or Jason Bulluck, Natural Heritage Director at 804-786-8377. Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed minimization and mitigation measures.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. René Hypes", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

S. René Hypes
Natural Heritage Project Review Coordinator

CC : Bob Bisha, Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation - Division of Planning and Recreational Resources

Molly Joseph Ward
Secretary of Natural Resources

Clyde E. Cristman
Director



Rochelle Altholz
Deputy Director of
Administration and Finance

David C. Dowling
Deputy Director of
Soil and Water Conservation
and Dam Safety

Thomas L. Smith
Deputy Director of Operations

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND RECREATION

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 27, 2017

TO: Richard B. Gangle, Dominion Energy

FROM: Roberta Rhur, Environmental Impact Review Coordinator

SUBJECT: ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE – CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO CONSTRUCTION CROSSING OF VIRGINIA BYWAYS

Division of Planning and Recreation Resources

The Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR), Division of Planning and Recreation Resources (PRR), develops the *Virginia Outdoors Plan* and coordinates a broad range of recreational and environmental programs throughout Virginia. These include the Virginia Scenic Rivers program; Virginia Byways, Trails, Greenways, and Blueways; Virginia State Park Master Planning and State Park Design and Construction.

We are responding to a letter dated September 18, 2017 regarding construction crossing of Virginia Byways. In a phone conversation with a Dominion Energy representative we discussed the pipeline permanent Right-of-Way (ROW) widths. We understand that a 50 foot ROW will be maintained with ten feet mowed regularly and the remaining 40 feet mowed every three years. Given this information, we find there is no significant impact to the scenic qualities of the Virginia Byways affected by this project.

Further, when we reviewed the Draft EIS, section 4 stated that the designated Scenic Rivers along the route would be crossed using directional drilling; we understand that this method does not require clearing to the river's edge and that a buffer will remain on both sides of the river. An intact riparian buffer will ensure that the scenic qualities of the designated Scenic Rivers will not be degraded significantly, for this reason we find no significant impact to designated Scenic Rivers. Lastly, regarding impacts to potentially scenic rivers, we recommend that all crossings be perpendicular to the river bank to minimize visual impacts for river users.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding these comments please contact Robbie Rhur, at Robbie.Rhur@dcr.virginia.gov or Lynn Crump at Lynn.Crump@dcr.virginia.gov.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Cc: Tom Smith, DCR
Lynn Crump, DCR
Danette Poole, DCR

Virginia Department of Historic Resources



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward
Secretary of Natural Resources

Department of Historic Resources
2801 Kensington Avenue, Richmond, Virginia 23221

Julie V. Langan
Director

Tel: (804) 367-2323
Fax: (804) 367-2391
www.dhr.virginia.gov

September 27, 2017

Mr. Mike Madden, Forest Archaeologist
George Washington & Jefferson National Forests
5162 Valleypointe Parkway
Roanoke, VA 24019

Re: *Addendum 1, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the Fort Lewis Area and Additional Access Roads, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia* (May 24, 2017)
DHR File No. 2014-0710

Dear Mr. Madden:

The Department of Historic Resources (DHR) has received the report referenced above prepared by GAI Consultants, Inc. (GAI). This approximately 104-acre study represents the cultural resources survey of approximately 1.42 miles of pipeline corridor and 9.39 miles of access road right-of-way. It is our opinion that this report meets DHR's *Survey Guidelines* and other applicable standards. Our comments are provided as assistance to Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, U.S. Forest Service, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in meeting their collective responsibility under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

The archaeological survey identified three (3) sites and four (4) isolated finds within the study area. The isolated finds are, by definition, not eligible for listing in the Virginia Landmarks Register (VLR) or National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and no further consideration of these resources is warranted. The USFS recommends, and DHR concurs, that site **44BA0943** is not eligible for listing in the VLR/NRHP. The two (2) remaining archaeological sites – **44BA0941** and **44BA0942** – are outside of the areas of proposed disturbance and we concur that no further work is warranted; however, these sites should be managed as potentially eligible for listing in the VLR/NRHP until consensus can be reached on VLR/NRHP eligibility.

This study also documented the Duncan Knob Lookout (DHR ID #**008-5071**) and we concur that this resource is likely eligible for VLR/NRHP listing, but that the project will not adversely affect

Western Region Office
962 Kime Lane
Salem, VA 24153
Tel: (540) 387-5443
Fax: (540) 387-5446


Northern Region Office
5357 Main Street
PO Box 519
Stephens City, VA 22655
Tel: (540) 868-7029
Fax: (540) 868-7033

Eastern Region Office
2801 Kensington Avenue
Richmond, VA 23221
Tel: (804) 367-2323
Fax: (804) 367-2391

those qualities that make it eligible for listing. No further consideration of this resource is warranted.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. If you have any questions regarding these comments or our review of this project, please do not hesitate to contact me at roger.kirchen@dhr.virginia.gov.

Sincerely,



Roger W. Kirchen, Director
Review and Compliance Division

Western Region Office
962 Kime Lane
Salem, VA 24153
Tel: (540) 387-5443
Fax: (540) 387-5446

Northern Region Office
5357 Main Street
PO Box 519
Stephens City, VA 22655
Tel: (540) 868-7029
Fax: (540) 868-7033

Eastern Region Office
2801 Kensington Avenue
Richmond, VA 23221
Tel: (804) 367-2323
Fax: (804) 367-2391



October 10, 2017

Ethel Eaton
Review and Compliance Division
Virginia Department of Historic Resources
2801 Kensington Ave.
Richmond, VA 23221

Subject: Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Blue Ridge Parkway Crossing, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project ARPA Permit #BLRI-2015-01

Dear Ms. Eaton:

Please find enclosed one copy of the *Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway*. It addresses the comments you provided to the National Park Service.

If you have any questions, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)
Ann Loomis (Dominion Energy)
Mary Krueger (National Park Service)

Enclosure: **Final Phase I Archaeological Survey Report for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Blue Ridge Parkway**



October 11, 2017

Mr. Roger Kirchen, Director
Review and Compliance Division
Virginia Department of Historic Resources
2801 Kensington Ave.
Richmond, VA 23221

**Subject: Section 106 Review – Cemetery Survey V-CRIS deliverables
Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
DHR File No. 2014-0710**

Dear Mr. Kirchen:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is submitting the requested deliverables for the project referenced above. Enclosed are archival copies of the V-CRIS forms, archival photographs, sketch maps, and a CD with digital copies of the photographs, forms, and sketch maps in the requested format. The material enclosed was prepared by Atlantic's consultant, Environmental Resources Management.

We look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed deliverables, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Enclosure: **V-CRIS forms, archival photographs, sketch maps, CD of photographs, forms, and sketch maps**



October 12, 2017

Mr. Roger Kirchen, Director
Review and Compliance Division
Virginia Department of Historic Resources
2801 Kensington Ave.
Richmond, VA 23221

**Subject: Section 106 Review – Initial Assessment of Effects for Architectural Resources
Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
DHR File No. 2014-0710**

Dear Mr. Kirchen:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed revised initial assessment of effects architectural survey report conducted for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). Revisions are based on the August 8, 2017 letter. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed document, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Enclosure: Initial Assessment of Effects for Architectural Resources

Virginia Department of Transportation

From: Doug Lake
Sent: Tuesday, September 19, 2017 2:29 PM
To: james.cromwell@vdot.virginia.com
Cc: Richard Gangle; Spencer Trichell; Pat Robblee
Subject: 170816 USDOT and VDOT - Scenic Road Crossings.pdf

Hi James:

Per our conversation a few minutes ago, I understand that VDOT does not have a record of receiving the attached letter from Dominion Energy, originally sent to Angel Deem on August 16, 2017. This letter pertains to a request from Atlantic Coast pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) for consultation with VDOT regarding a new natural gas pipeline project in Virginia, West Virginia and North Carolina. Specifically, Atlantic is requesting consultation on the crossing of 13 state-listed by-ways or scenic roads in Virginia, as part of the FERC-regulated NEPA review process. The attached letter contains maps and close-up aerial photos of each crossing, together with the footprint of construction associated with each crossing. Atlantic is seeking comments from VDOT regarding these crossings. Please contact Richard. B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.b.gangle@dominionenergy.com , if VDOT has any questions regarding this report. Please direct written responses to:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Energy Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Sincerely,
Douglas J. Lake
Senior Partner

ERM
1000 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T+612 347-7875 | M+612 669-1893
E doug.lake@erm.com | W www.erm.com



From: Williams, Heather (VDOT) [<mailto:Heather.Williams@VDOT.Virginia.gov>]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 3:23 PM
To: Richard B Gangle (Services - 6)
Cc: Dudley, Russell A. (VDOT)
Subject: [External] FW: Scenic Byways

Robert,

In response to the letter dated August 16, 2017 regarding Atlantic Coast Pipeline – Consultation Regarding Pipeline Construction Crossings of National and State Scenic Byways in Virginia; VDOT does not have any additional comments other than the previously identified recommendation to file site specific visual mitigation measures so that impacts to features which contribute to the Byways' original designation may be minimized.

Please do not hesitate to contact the Scenic Byways Coordinator Russ Dudley at (804) 786-6663 or by email at Russ.Dudley@VDOT.Virginia.gov if you have any additional questions.

Thank you,
-Heather

Heather Williams

Location Studies Project Manager
Office: 804-786-1872
Cell: 804-912-3406

North Carolina Agencies

North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources



North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources
State Historic Preservation Office

Ramona M. Bartos, Administrator

Governor Roy Cooper
Secretary Susi H. Hamilton

Office of Archives and History
Deputy Secretary Kevin Cherry

September 26, 2017

Robert Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com

Re: Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina
Addendum Report 5, Cumberland, Johnston, Nash, Robeson, and Wilson counties,
ER 14-1475

Dear Mr. Gangle:

We have received Robert M. Bisha's letter of July 11, 2017, forwarding copies of the above-referenced report (our Bibliography Number 7684) by Environmental Resource Management (ERM) and would like to comment.

During the course of the survey four sites were located within the project area. Three have been recommended as not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

The following properties are determined not eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places: 31CD2145, 31CD2146, and 31WL375**. Because of a lack of integrity these sites have no further information value. Mr. William Stanyard of ERM has recommended that no further archaeological investigation be conducted in connection with these sites, allowing work to proceed in their areas. We concur with this recommendation.

The site recommended for avoidance or Phase II testing by ERM to determine its eligibility for the NRHP is 31WL374. We concur with this recommendation.


While we concur with the recommendations made for these four sites, we have a number of editorial comments on the report. Please see attached and provide a revised report incorporating these changes.

The above comments are made pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's Regulations for Compliance with Section 106 codified at 36 CFR Part 800.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration. If you have questions concerning the above comment, contact Renee Gledhill-Earley, environmental review coordinator, at 919-807-6579 or environmental.review@ncdcr.gov. In all future communication concerning this project, please cite the above-referenced tracking number.

Sincerely,



 Ramona Bartos

cc: Bill Stanyard, Environmental Resource Management, bill.stanyard@nrg-llc.com

enclosure

Editorial Comments

- On Page 3-3 there is a typo in the table for 31CD2145. Change total artifacts from 23 to 25.
- In the same table, *Extends beyond survey area* for 31CD2145 should be unknown, as the text states, “The eastern boundary of site 31CD2145 was not delineated and could extend beyond the survey corridor in that direction...”
- Throughout the report, while typical units are described, none are depicted with photographs or line illustrations. Please include at least one for each site.
- The diagnostic points for 31CD2146 are listed as Guilford, Morrow Mountain, and Slade. Slade is not a commonly recognized type in North Carolina, and the report offers neither a description of it (including time period) or a photograph showing it and the other diagnostics, as was done for 31WL374. Please clarify the type or substitute a NC equivalent and include a photo of the diagnostics.
- Add Savannah River to the diagnostic artifacts listed in 31WL374’s table.
- Please include tables noting which artifacts were found in which tests. This would have been especially helpful for 31WL374.
- Please include at least one photograph for 31WL375**.



North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources
State Historic Preservation Office

Ramona M. Bartos, Administrator

Governor Roy Cooper
Secretary Susi H. Hamilton

Office of Archives and History
Deputy Secretary Kevin Cherry

October 4, 2017

Richard Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, VA 23060

Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com

Re: Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Multicounty, ER 14-1475

Dear Mr. Gangle:

We have received Mr. Robert M. Bisha's letter of July 18, 2017, providing copies of ERM's draft report (our Bibliography Number 7685) of proposed cemetery treatment plans. This report describes the delineations of two additional historic-period cemeteries, 31NP388 and 31RB540, and offers protective treatment plans for these plus eight previously delineated (our Bibliography Number 7611) historic-period cemeteries identified during archaeological surveys for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project.

For those cemeteries with existing durable fencing, ERM proposes attaching signage identifying them as Exclusion Zones, to be avoided by all project activities. These cemeteries are: 31JT485 and 31NS162.

Cemeteries without existing durable fencing will be buffered by a 25-foot-zone with high-visibility construction fence placed around their perimeters. Signage identifying as Exclusion Zones will be attached. These cemeteries are: 31CD2091, 31JT452, 31JT461, 31NP388, 31NS171, 31NS173, 31RB540., and 31RB572.

We accept these plans, with the proviso that the construction fencing and all signage be checked periodically to ensure all are intact. We note that property owners will have the option of the installation of permanent fencing around their cemeteries when project construction concludes. Who would incur this expense?

ERM expresses concern for 31RB540 because the road bisecting the cemetery is to become a permanent access road (24-101-AR 1) to the pipeline corridor. They explain that the current 8-foot-wide road cannot be widened because of a drainage ditch on its west side and graves immediately adjacent on its east side. They recommend that the road's use be limited to light-duty equipment and vehicles no larger than a standard pickup. We share these concerns and echo their recommendations given the risk of affecting graves.

We understand that throughout the construction process the Unanticipated Discoveries Plan will be in effect in case soil anomalies or other evidence suggest the presence of an unmarked human burial. Please include a copy of the Plan in the final version of this report for easy reference.

Though all ten cemeteries are evaluated as not eligible for the National Register of Historic Places under any criterion, they are protected under the auspices of NCGS 65 and NCGS 14-148 and 14-149.

Attached please find a couple corrections; please include these in the final version of the report and provide us copies.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration. If you have questions concerning the above comment, contact Renee Gledhill-Earley, environmental review coordinator, at 919-807-6579 or environmental.review@ncdcr.gov. In all future communication concerning this project, please cite the above-referenced tracking number.

Sincerely,



J Ramona Bartos

cc: State Clearinghouse

attachment

Editorial Comments

- On Page 5, 31NP388 is listed as having five marked graves and is called the Vassor Cemetery; on Page 26 it's identified as unnamed with 17 grave markers (this may have come from an oertype from 31NS162).

State-Recognized Tribes

Coharie Tribe



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Greg Jacobs, Tribal Adm./Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.: 910-564-6909
COMPANY: Coharie Tribe	
PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
DATE: 3/7/17; 3/14/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 2:30/9:30
RE: Update	
LOG OF CONVERSATION:	

Update on project and set meeting.

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

Coharie Indian Tribe

DATE:

March 15, 2017

LOCATION:

Coharie Tribal Center, Clinton, NC

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Greg Jacobs, Coharie Indian Tribe, Tribal Administrator
Phil Strickland, Coharie Indian Tribe, Vice Chair, Coharie Board
Amy Hertell, UNC American Indian Center
Bruce McKay, Dominion
Rosemary Wyche, Dominion

PREPARED BY:

MEETING MINUTES:

Tribal Administrator Greg Jacobs returned a call and agreed to set up a meeting with the ACP project team. A project update meeting was held with Tribal Administrator Greg Jacobs, Vice Chair Coharie Board Phil Strickland and Director of the UNC American Indian Center Amy Hertell. The Tribe asked to be consulted regarding any artifacts found during construction.

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION REQUIRED:

BY WHOM:

Attachments:

None

cc: Project Files

Pat Robblee

From: Greg Jacobs <greg_jacobs53@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 5:35 PM
To: Molly P Plautz
Cc: Freddie Carter; Randy Jacobs; Phil Strickland; Isabell Freeman Elliott; Sharon Williams; Mike Groves; Lenora Locklear; Katherine Simmons; Phillip Bell
Subject: [External] Fw: Atlantic Coast Pipeline - Meeting Request

Molly

It was good talking to you at the meeting in Holl. My world needs the education about the functions of your world and we must tell our story to you. I was pleased to speak and be visible for the Coharie Tribe. I will be discussing the pipeline in the near future with our Tribal Council. It is difficult to speak and make decisions when my people encounter worlds beyond our perception.

I appreciate that you see us. I hope the future will bring prosperity and understanding that leads to a peace of mind for us all.

It is a busy time for the Coharie Tribe as we approach our 48th annual pow wow, attend the Coharie Tribal Community Gardens, address drug abuse and try to develop a program plan around new developments on the Great Coharie River Initiative. Decisions of the council will determine my future activities. If they wish to meet I will contact you with possible dates.

Greg Jacobs

Tribal Administrator

Coharie Intra-Tribal Council, Inc.

7531 North US 421 Highway Clinton, NC 28328

Phone: (910) 564-6909, Ext. 4

Fax: (910) 564-2701

www.coharietribe.org

"There's enough ways in the world for everyone to have one"-Ammie Jacobs

On Thursday, August 10, 2017 11:52 AM, Molly P Plautz <molly.p.plautz@dominionenergy.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Jacobs,

It was nice speaking with you yesterday at the NC Commission of Indian Affairs meeting. I enjoyed learning about the importance of the Coharie River to your Tribe's culture and the work you are doing with the Coharie River Initiative. During the meeting, you mentioned concerns associated with the unanticipated finds plan for the project and potential impacts of construction on the Coharie River. I would appreciate the opportunity to meet with the Coharie Tribe in the near future to continue our conversation and to provide additional information on our plans to safeguard the environment and any unanticipated finds. Please let me know if you would be willing to meet with us and if there are any dates that would be convenient for you.

Sincerely,
Molly

Molly Plautz
External Affairs Manager
Federal Affairs
Dominion Energy
Office: 804.771.3282
Cell: 804.239.9662

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Greg Jacobs, Tribal Adm./Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.: 910-564-6909
---	----------------------------

COMPANY: Coharie Tribe

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 9/6/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 11:30
-----------------	--------------------------------

RE: Update/to set meeting

LOG OF CONVERSATION:
Asked to come to the council and update on the project, Jacobs said he would speak with Council and get back.

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files

Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Chief Richardson/Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.: 252-883-5709
COMPANY: Haliwa-Saponi Tribe	
PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
DATE: 9/14/16	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 10:30
RE: Schedule Meeting	
LOG OF CONVERSATION:	

Call to schedule in person meeting with council, meeting date set for 9/15/16

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Archie Lynch, Tribal Adm./Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.: 252-586-4017
---	----------------------------

COMPANY:
Haliwa-Saponi

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 10/5/16	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 10:30
------------------	--------------------------------

RE:
Follow up

LOG OF CONVERSATION:
Follow up from 10/5 meeting to ask if any outstanding questions.

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files

From: Molly P Plautz (Services - 6)
Sent: Monday, October 02, 2017 6:44 PM
To: 'alynch@haliwa-saponi.com'
Cc: 'earl_evans@msn.com'
Subject: Atlantic Coast Pipeline - Meeting Request

Dear Mr. Lynch,

I spoke with Vice Chairman Evans today at a cultural resource conference in Minneapolis. As I mentioned to Mr. Evans, we would like to request a meeting with you and members of the Council at your convenience to discuss the Atlantic Coast Pipeline project. He requested that we provide copies of ACP's cultural resource reports for North Carolina, Virginia and West Virginia. These reports are being compiled and will be sent to you on a CD via postal mail tomorrow. Please let me know if there are any dates when the Council would be available to meet with the project team.

Please do not hesitate to contact me by phone or email if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Molly

Molly Plautz
Federal Affairs Policy Advisor
Dominion Energy
804.771.3282 (o)
804.239.9662 (c)





October 3, 2017

BY OVERNIGHT (OR EXPRESS) MAIL

Archie Lynch, Tribal Administrator
Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 99
Hollister, NC 27844

**RE: Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
Archaeology and Architectural History Reports**

Dear Administrator Lynch:

The enclosed DVD contains the archaeology and architectural history survey, testing, effects, and treatment reports prepared for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Project in West Virginia, Virginia, and North Carolina. These reports were prepared pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended. The reports have been provided to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and appropriate State Historic Preservation Officer in support of the Section 106 review process for the ACP.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Gangle", written over a horizontal line.

Richard Gangle
Environmental Manager, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Enclosures: Archaeology and Architectural History Reports for the ACP (see attached report list)

Atlantic Coast Pipeline Archaeology and Architectural History Reports

West Virginia

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Seasons 1 and 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 3
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Site 46PH775, Pocahontas County, West Virginia
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 5
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 6

West Virginia – Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Addendum Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Addendum 2 Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 3 Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Assessment of Effects

West Virginia - Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Lewis, Pocahontas, Randolph, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Lewis, Pocahontas, Randolph, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

West Virginia - Monongahela National Forest Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Monongahela National Forest, Pocahontas County, West Virginia
- Addendum I, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of Additional Access Roads and Workspace, Monongahela National Forest, Pocahontas, County, West Virginia

Virginia

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Components (Season 1)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Virginia (Seasons 1 & 2)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Geoarchaeological and Geomorphological Survey of Five Valley Crossings of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Virginia
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0873, 44AU0907, 44NT0302, 44SN0304, and 44SN0305
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44GV0386 and 44SN0308
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0924 and 44BR0349
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 5
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44CS0329, 44SN0312, 44SN0342, 44SN0384, and 44SN0385
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0024, 44CS0346, 44GV0388, 44SK0080/0608, and 44SK612
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Archaeological Site Avoidance Plans and Data Recovery Research Design
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 7

Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway,

Dinwiddie, Brunswick, Greensville, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia

- Addendum, Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Rockbridge, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Franklin, Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Initial Assessment of Potential Effects for Architectural Resources, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Resources Not Affected Due to Topography and Screening
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Assessment of Effects Report

Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

Blue Ridge Parkway Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Blue Ridge Parkway

George Washington National Forest Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia
- Phase II Archaeological Testing at Sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta County, Virginia
- Addendum I, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the Fort Lewis Area and Additional Access Roads, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia

North Carolina

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Components
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project; North Carolina, Addendum 3
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31NP0391, 31NP0392, 31NS0147, 31NS0169, and 31RB0534
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2018, 31CD2055, 31CD2093, 31CD2099, and 31CD2109
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2020, 31CD2094, 31CD2100, 31CD2106, 31CD2107, 31CD2122, 31JT483, and 31JT484
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2112, 31CD2120, 31CD2124, 31HX307, 31JT487, 31JT491, and 31WL351
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2019 and 31JT423
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 5
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31NS156, 31RB524, and 31WL374
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Archaeological Site Avoidance Plans and Data Recovery Research Designs
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 6

Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Johnston, Sampson, Cumberland, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina
- Addendum, Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Johnston, Sampson, Cumberland, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 2
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 3

- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Assessment of Effects

Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Cumberland, Johnston, Nash, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Cumberland, Johnston, Nash, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan



October 11, 2017

BY OVERNIGHT (OR EXPRESS) MAIL

Archie Lynch, Tribal Administrator
Haliwa-Saponi Indian Tribe
P.O. Box 99
Hollister, NC 27844

**RE: Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
Archaeology and Architectural History Reports**

Dear Administrator Lynch:

On October 3, 2017, we sent you a DVD containing the archaeology and architectural history survey, testing, effects, and treatment reports prepared for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Project in West Virginia, Virginia, and North Carolina. Three of the reports provided on that DVD (the addenda 2 archaeological survey report for Virginia, the geomorphological study report for Virginia, and the site avoidance plans and data recovery research design for Virginia) were missing attached figures. Enclosed is a new DVD containing all of the reports prepared for the ACP, including updated versions of the three reports with the missing figures appended to the reports.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion)

Enclosures: Archaeology and Architectural History Reports for the ACP (see attached report list)

Atlantic Coast Pipeline Archaeology and Architectural History Reports

West Virginia

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Seasons 1 and 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 3
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Site 46PH775, Pocahontas County, West Virginia
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 5
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Season 6

West Virginia – Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Addendum Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Addendum 2 Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Harrison, Lewis, Upshur, Randolph, and Pocahontas Counties, West Virginia
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 3 Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia, Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Assessment of Effects

West Virginia - Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Lewis, Pocahontas, Randolph, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Lewis, Pocahontas, Randolph, and Upshur Counties, West Virginia, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

West Virginia - Monongahela National Forest Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Monongahela National Forest, Pocahontas County, West Virginia
- Addendum I, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of Additional Access Roads and Workspace, Monongahela National Forest, Pocahontas, County, West Virginia

Virginia

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Components (Season 1)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Virginia (Seasons 1 & 2)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Geoarchaeological and Geomorphological Survey of Five Valley Crossings of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Virginia
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0873, 44AU0907, 44NT0302, 44SN0304, and 44SN0305
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44GV0386 and 44SN0308
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0924 and 44BR0349
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 5
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44CS0329, 44SN0312, 44SN0342, 44SN0384, and 44SN0385
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0024, 44CS0346, 44GV0388, 44SK0080/0608, and 44SK612
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Archaeological Site Avoidance Plans and Data Recovery Research Design
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 7

Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway,

Dinwiddie, Brunswick, Greensville, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia

- Addendum, Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Rockbridge, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Franklin, Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Initial Assessment of Potential Effects for Architectural Resources, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Resources Not Affected Due to Topography and Screening
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Assessment of Effects Report

Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

Blue Ridge Parkway Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Blue Ridge Parkway

George Washington National Forest Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia
- Phase II Archaeological Testing at Sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta County, Virginia
- Addendum I, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the Fort Lewis Area and Additional Access Roads, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia

North Carolina

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Components
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project; North Carolina, Addendum 3
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31NP0391, 31NP0392, 31NS0147, 31NS0169, and 31RB0534
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2018, 31CD2055, 31CD2093, 31CD2099, and 31CD2109
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2020, 31CD2094, 31CD2100, 31CD2106, 31CD2107, 31CD2122, 31JT483, and 31JT484
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2112, 31CD2120, 31CD2124, 31HX307, 31JT487, 31JT491, and 31WL351
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31CD2019 and 31JT423
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 5
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: North Carolina, Sites 31NS156, 31RB524, and 31WL374
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Archaeological Site Avoidance Plans and Data Recovery Research Designs
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 6

Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project Corridor, Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Johnston, Sampson, Cumberland, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina
- Addendum, Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Johnston, Sampson, Cumberland, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 2
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina, Addendum 3

- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, North Carolina Assessment of Effects

Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Cumberland, Johnston, Nash, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Cumberland, Johnston, Nash, and Robeson Counties, North Carolina, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Camera, Adm. Asst. / David McNeill (Duke Energy)	PHONE NO.: 910-827-1767
---	----------------------------

COMPANY: Lumbee Tribal Council

PROJECT CONTACT: David McNeill	PHONE NO.: 910-944-5322
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

DATE: 3/3/17; 3/9/17; 3/20/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION:
----------------------------------	-----------------------

RE: Project Update

LOG OF CONVERSATION: Called to update on project and work to set meeting.
--

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: David McNeill/Camera (Adm. Asst.)	PHONE NO.: 910-827-1767
---	----------------------------

COMPANY:
Lumbee Tribal Council

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 3/20/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 8:15am
------------------	---------------------------------

RE:
Project Update

LOG OF CONVERSATION:
Call from administrative asst. to cancel meeting set for 3/20 at 9am.

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Tribal Council Member/Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.: 910-738-7788
---	----------------------------

COMPANY: Lumbee Tribal Council

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 4/3/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 2:30
-----------------	-------------------------------

RE: Set Meeting

LOG OF CONVERSATION: Council Member said he would work on trying to set up a meeting with Atlantic and council.
--

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files

Pat Robblee

From: Ann W Loomis <ann.w.loomis@dominionenergy.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 9:26 AM
To: Molly P Plautz
Subject: FW: NC Council of Indians Environmental Justice Forum.

From: Ann W Loomis (Services - 6)
Sent: Tuesday, August 15, 2017 10:25 AM
To: 'hgodwin@lumbeetribe.com'
Subject: NC Council of Indians Environmental Justice Forum.

Dear Chief Godwin,

It was a pleasure to meet you at last week's meeting of the North Carolina Council of Indians. I carefully listened to your comments about the Atlantic Coast Pipeline's potential impacts to water quality and lands with important cultural significance to the Lumbee Indian Tribe. Also, we respect your views and those of the Lumbee Tribal Council concerning direct consultation with FERC. Although we cannot affect FERC's policies, we welcome the opportunity to work together to address specific concerns about the project's route. We remain available to meet with you and members of the Council at your convenience to discuss measures to ensure the protection of the rivers and lands crossed by the pipeline's route. We also welcome suggestions you may have to mitigate any potential adverse impacts.

Please do not hesitate to contact me by phone or email if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Ann

Ann Loomis | Senior Director, Federal Affairs & Environmental Policy | Dominion Energy
400 N. Capitol Street, NW, Suite 875, Washington, DC 20001
202.585.4205 (o)
202.997.1849 (c)



From: Rosemary Wyche
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2017 9:49 AM
To: fporter@lumbeetribe.com
Subject: Atlantic Coast Pipeline Meeting

Good Morning Dr. Porter

I hope you are well.

I wanted to confirm that representatives of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline are looking forward to speaking with the Tribal Council Monday, September 11, at 6:00pm at the Lumbee Tribal Housing complex in Pembroke.

I will be sending you the names of the representatives as soon as they all confirm.

Please, if you have any questions do not hesitate to contact me.

Take Care.
Rosemary Wyche

Rosemary F. Wyche
Field Director, Atlantic Coast Pipeline
Eckel & Vaughan

MOB 919-614-3100
EML Rosemary@EandVGroup.com
WEB www.EandVGroup.com

The information and any attachments contained in this email may contain confidential and/or privileged information and is intended solely for the use of the intended named recipients. Any disclosure or dissemination in whatever form, by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please contact the sender and destroy this message and any attachments. Thank you.



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM WHOM: Rosemary Wyche/ Dr. Freda Porter, Tribal Adm,	PHONE NO.: 910-827-1767
--	----------------------------

COMPANY: Lumbee Tribal Council

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 9/16/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 6:15pm
------------------	---------------------------------

RE: Scheduling Meeting

LOG OF CONVERSATION:
Dr. Porter called confirming that a meeting would be scheduled and would check with council for available dates and get back.

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT CALL LOG

CALL TO/FROM Dr. Freda Porter, Tribal Adm/Rosemary Wyche	PHONE NO.:910-827-1767
---	------------------------

COMPANY: Lumbee Tribal Council

PROJECT CONTACT:	PHONE NO.:
------------------	------------

DATE: 9/21/17	TIME OF CONVERSATION: 10:30am
------------------	----------------------------------

RE: Meeting for Oct. 2, 17

LOG OF CONVERSATION: Confirming Meeting with Council for Oct. 2, 2017
--

ACTION ITEMS	
ACTION REQUIRED:	BY WHOM:

cc: Project Files

From: Rosemary Wyche
Sent: Thursday, September 21, 2017 8:20 AM
To: fporter@lumbeetribes.com
Subject: ACP Meeting October 2

Good Morning Freda

Hope you are well. I want to confirm that Monday, October 2 at 6:00pm works with the ACP team. I will be sending a list of the attendees soon.

We look forward to meeting the tribal council and to a productive evening.

Do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Take Care.

Rosemary

Rosemary F. Wyche
Field Director, Atlantic Coast Pipeline
Eckel & Vaughan

MOB 919-614-3100
EML Rosemary@EandVGroup.com
WEB www.EandVGroup.com

The information and any attachments contained in this email may contain confidential and/or privileged information and is intended solely for the use of the intended named recipients. Any disclosure or dissemination in whatever form, by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please contact the sender and destroy this message and any attachments. Thank you.



ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

PROJECT MEETING MINUTES

MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

Lumbee Tribal Health Committee

DATE:

October 2, 2017

LOCATION:

Lumbee Tribal Housing Complex 6984 NC
HWY 711 West Pembroke, NC 28372

ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Leslie Hartz, VP Pipeline Construction, Dominion Energy
Bruce McKay, Senior Energy Policy Director, Dominion Energy
Ann Loomis, Senior Federal Affairs & Environmental Policy Director, Dominion Energy
David McNeill, District Manager, Duke Energy
Spencer Trichell, Environmental Consultant, Dominion Energy
Colin Olness, Construction Project Manager, Dominion Energy
Rosemary Wyche, Field Director ACP

Jan Lowry, Chair Lumbee Tribal Council of North Carolina, Health and Human Services Committee and 4 other members of that committee as well as 7 Tribal Council members

PREPARED BY:

Spencer Trichell/Rosemary Wyche

MEETING MINUTES:

The presentation was given by:

Leslie Hartz provided an overview of ACP

Ann Loomis provided the status of federal review and cultural resource activities

Bruce McKay provided the status of ACP economic benefits and emergency planning

Spencer Trichell provided details on ACP's Unanticipated Finds Plan

Committee questions:

The ACP team responded to questions from the Tribal Council members. Questions included safety concerns, economic benefits, employment opportunities for tribal members, support for local emergency responders, clarification of responsibilities in case of emergencies, protections for endangered species and bird species of concern, internships, and Unanticipated Finds protocols.

The ACP team clarified that Atlantic is working to schedule a joint meeting with local first responders and the Tribal Council on emergency response planning and protocols, including departments in the Pembroke area; explained how the tax benefits to the county were

determined; that Atlantic will arrange a for meeting between LIUNA (Laborers International Union of North America) and the Tribe to discuss ways that tribal members have opportunities for apprenticeship programs and employment on the project; that the project has conducted surveys for endangered and threatened species and has not found them to be present and has also planned for minimizing impacts to hawks and other birds of concern to the Tribe; that Dominion Energy does offer summer internships and can provide more details in future meetings; and that the Unanticipated Finds Plan details notification to Tribes in the event of an unanticipated discovery.

The Committee also inquired about rumors that ACP would be extended into South Carolina. Ms. Hartz stated that while the ACP could be extended, Atlantic has no plans to do so at this time. She informed the Committee that if an extension was proposed, it would be reviewed as a new and separate project with separate permit approvals by Federal, State and local governments and would be required to demonstrate that it was needed to meet a public purpose and need.

The chair stated that the committee is in the process of drafting a resolution on consultation with the Tribes. She also stated that they look forward to future ongoing communication with the ACP representatives.

ACTION ITEMS

ACTION REQUIRED:

BY WHOM:

Attachments:

cc: Project Files

From: Freda Porter <fporter@lumbeetribe.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 5, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Rosemary Wyche
Cc: Timmy Oxendine; Harvey Godwin
Subject: RE: ACP Emergency Management Training

Hello Rosemary,

Timmy Oxendine, Security Supervisor, should lead our Tribal emergency management planning. He can facilitate EMS training. With approval and an expected number of attendees, we can make a recommendation on the appropriate facility. Please contact Timmy and we will review the Tribal calendar for an appropriate date.

Thank you,

Freda

Freda Porter, PhD

Tribal Administrator

Lumbee Tribe of North Carolina

910.522.2187

From: Rosemary Wyche [<mailto:rosemary@eandvgroup.com>]
Sent: Thursday, October 05, 2017 12:51 PM
To: Freda Porter
Subject: ACP Emergency Management Training

Hello Freda

I hope you are well.

I have spoken with Stephanie Chavis, Robeson County Emergency Management..we are working on setting up a meeting with County EMS and the Tribe to review local emergency management planning. We would like to have a point person from the tribe to help facilitate, Freda could you help steer us to a Tribal Representative?

Stephanie has suggested possibly holding the meeting either at the Tribal Complex or the Prospect Community Center. She will help to invite all the appropriate EMS people from the county. Do you have any suggested dates that I could start with? We would like to do this within the next 3 weeks. I look forward to hearing from you and thank you for all your guidance.

Take Care.

Rosemary

Meherrin Indian Tribe

Pat Robblee

From: Molly P Plautz <molly.p.plautz@dominionenergy.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 10:29 AM
To: chiefbrownmeherrin@yahoo.com
Subject: Atlantic Coast Pipeline - Meeting Request

Dear Chief Brown,

I had the opportunity to speak with Mr. Caudill and Ms. Mitchell with the Meherrin Indian Tribe at the NC Commission of Indian Affairs meeting yesterday. Mr. Caudill noted the Tribe's concerns associated with potential impacts of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) on the Meherrin River and requested information on ACP's plans to safeguard the environment and any unanticipated finds during construction. We would appreciate the opportunity to meet with your tribe to discuss these and any other concerns you may have associated with the project. Please let me know if you would be willing to meet with us and if there are any dates that would be convenient for you.

Sincerely,
Molly

Molly Plautz
External Affairs Manager
Federal Affairs
Dominion Energy
Office: 804.771.3282
Cell: 804.239.9662

Other Stakeholders

Nelson County Administration



October 9, 2017

BY OVERNIGHT (OR EXPRESS) MAIL

Mr. Steve Carter
84 Courthouse Square
Lovington, VA 22949

**RE: Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project
Archaeology and Architectural History Reports**

Dear Mr. Carter:

Thank you for meeting with representatives of Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC on October 5, 2017 to discuss the potential for impacts on historic properties in Nelson County, Virginia due to construction and operation of the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). Enclosed are hard and digital copies of the archaeology and architectural history survey, testing, effects, and treatment reports prepared for the ACP in Virginia. These reports were prepared pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended. The reports have been provided to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and Virginia Department of Historic Resources in support of the Section 106 review process for the ACP.

If you have any questions regarding the enclosed reports, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
5000 Dominion Boulevard
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Robert M. Bisha".

Robert M. Bisha
Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion)

Enclosures: Archaeology and Architectural History Reports for the ACP – hard copies and digital copies on DVD (see attached report list)

Atlantic Coast Pipeline Archaeology and Architectural History Reports - Virginia

Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Components (Season 1)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Virginia (Seasons 1 & 2)
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 1
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Geoarchaeological and Geomorphological Survey of Five Valley Crossings of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Virginia
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0873, 44AU0907, 44NT0302, 44SN0304, and 44SN0305
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44GV0386 and 44SN0308
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0924 and 44BR0349
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 5
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44CS0329, 44SN0312, 44SN0342, 44SN0384, and 44SN0385
- Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project: Virginia, Sites 44AU0024, 44CS0346, 44GV0388, 44SK0080/0608, and 44SK612
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Archaeological Site Avoidance Plans and Data Recovery Research Design
- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 7

Architectural History Reports

- Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, Greensville, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia
- Addendum, Architectural Reconnaissance Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Corridor, Highland, Augusta, Rockbridge, Nelson, Buckingham, Cumberland, Prince Edward, Nottoway, Dinwiddie, Brunswick, and Southampton Counties and the Cities of Franklin, Suffolk and Chesapeake, Virginia

- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 2
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 3
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Addendum 4
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 5
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Addendum 6
- Initial Assessment of Potential Effects for Architectural Resources, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Resources Not Affected Due to Topography and Screening
- Phase I Historic Architectural Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Assessment of Effects Report

Historic Cemetery Delineation and Treatment Reports

- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Delineation Report
- Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Buckingham, Greensville, Nelson, Nottoway, Suffolk, and Southampton Counties, Virginia, Historic Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

Blue Ridge Parkway Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Blue Ridge Parkway

George Washington National Forest Archaeology Reports

- Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia
- Phase II Archaeological Testing at Sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta County, Virginia
- Addendum I, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Phase I Cultural Resources Investigation of the Fort Lewis Area and Additional Access Roads, George Washington National Forest, Augusta, Bath, and Highland Counties, Virginia
- Cemetery Protective Treatment Plan

Rockfish Valley Foundation

From: Bill Stanyard
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2017 10:53 PM
To: Peter Agelasto
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: RE: RVF Spruce Creek --Coleman Mills -- archaeology -- site 44NE0209

Will October 6th at 11:00 am on site work for you?

Thanks.

William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

[3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096](http://3300BreckinridgeBlvd.com)

Mobile: [404 317 0543](tel:4043170543)

E bill.stanyard@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The business of sustainability

From: peter.agelasto@gmail.com [mailto:peter.agelasto@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Peter Agelasto
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 6:23 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: Re: Dominion Response to FERC's Request for Additional Information on Coleman Mill

Rockfish Valley Foundation headquarters. 1368 Rockfish valleyh highway nellysford va 22958
call the office if you are getting lost 434 361 0271 or my cell phone [REDACTED]

also did you know that the historic resources owned by WCSLT are leased to RVF and it operates as a non profit foundation since 22005. check out www.rockfishvalley.org. you will learn why we opposed the pipeline on the website.

Peter

On Wed, Sep 27, 2017 at 6:03 PM, Bill Stanyard <Bill.Stanyard@erm.com> wrote:

Ok. Sorry about the confusion. I look forward to meting you. Do have a specific meeting place in mind?

Thanks.

William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

[3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096](http://3300BreckinridgeBlvd.com)

Mobile: [404 317 0543](tel:4043170543)

E bill.stanyard@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The business of sustainability

From: peter.agelasto@gmail.com [mailto:peter.agelasto@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Peter Agelasto
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 5:58 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: Re: Dominion Response to FERC's Request for Additional Information on Coleman Mill

we are expecting to see you at 11 on October 6 at RVF in Nelson

i see mention of August 6 which gave me a double take. we have others who will be there to discuss and support all they have researched. I am surprised you drafted something without our discussion/visit. i will read your attachment and look forward to the visit.

thanks

On Wed, Sep 27, 2017 at 5:09 PM, Bill Stanyard <Bill.Stanyard@erm.com> wrote:

Mr. Agelasto:

We have completed our response to Question 6 in the FERC Data Request dated September 20, 2017. It provides additional information and assessments for Coleman Mill. The document is attached. I look forward to discussing it with you. Are you available to meet on August 6th at 11:00 am?

Thank you.

William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

[3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096](http://3300BreckinridgeBlvd.com)

Mobile: [404 317 0543](tel:4043170543)

E bill.stanyard@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The business of sustainability

From: Bill Stanyard
Sent: Wednesday, September 27, 2017 4:09 PM
To: Peter Agelasto
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: Dominion Response to FERC's Request for Additional Information on Coleman Mill

Mr. Agelasto:

We have completed our response to Question 6 in the FERC Data Request dated September 20, 2017. It provides additional information and assessments for Coleman Mill. The document is attached. I look forward to discussing it with you. Are you available to meet on August 6th at 11:00 am?

Thank you.

William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096

Mobile: 404 317 0543

E bill.stanyard@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The business of sustainability

From: Bill Stanyard
Sent: Friday, September 29, 2017 12:06 PM
To: Peter Agelasto
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: RE: Dominion Response to FERC's Request for Additional Information on Coleman Mill

Mr. Agelasto:

We will be meeting with the Nelson County Board of Supervisors at 10:30 am on October 5, 2017. The meeting will be held at the Nelson County Courthouse. You will likely be receiving an invitation by email but I just wanted to make sure you are aware of the meeting and where it will be held.

Thanks.

William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096

Mobile: 404 317 0543

E bill.stanyard@erm.com | W www.erm.com



The business of sustainability