## ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE, LLC ATLANTIC COAST PIPELINE

and

## DOMINION ENERGY TRANSMISSION, INC. SUPPLY HEADER PROJECT

**Response to Environmental Data Request** 

Q4 Attachment 2

**Previously Unfiled Correspondence** 

	Q4 ATTAC	HMENT 2							
Supplemental Summary of Agency Correspondence for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline									
Agency/Contact Name(s)	Date of Correspondence	Format	Description						
FEDERAL AGENCIES									
National Park Service									
John McDade	8/24/17	Email	Transmittal of VDHR comments on the archaeological survey report for the Blue Ridge Parkway.						
U.S. Forest Service - George Washington National Forest									
Michael Madded	8/4/16	Emails	Transmittal of management summary report.						
Michael Madden	3/31/17	Email	Comments on Phase II testing report.						
Jennifer Adams	9/27/17	Letter	Transmittal of revised Phase II testing report.						
STATE/COMMONWEALTH AGENCIES									
WEST VIRGINIA AGENCIES									
West Virginia Division of Culture and History									
Susan Pierce	4/15/16	Letter	Comments on the combined season 1 and 2 archaeological survey report.						
Susan Pierce	9/26/17	Letter	Transmittal of the addendum 6 archaeological survey report.						
VIRGINIA AGENCIES									
Virginia Department of Historic Resources									
Roger Kirchen	7/31/15	Letter	Comments on the season 1 archaeological survey report.						
Angela Navarro, Roger Kirchen, Mark Holma	9/12/17	Minutes	Assessment of effects on architectural historic properties and VDHR review of archaeological survey reports.						
Roger Kirchen	9/26/17	Letter	Transmittal of the addendum 7 archaeological survey report.						
Roger Kirchen	9/27/17	Letter	Comments on Phase II testing report for 44AU0873, 44AU0907, 44NT0302, 44SN0304, and 44SN0305.						
NORTH CAROLINA AGENCIES									
North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources									
Renee Gledhill-Earley	9/26/17	Letter	Transmittal of the addendum 6 archaeological survey report.						
Ramona Bartos	9/26/17	Letter	Comments on the assessment of effects report.						
OTHER PARTIES									
Rockfish Valley Foundation									
Peter Agelasto	924/17	Email	Email regarding the Coleman Mill Site.						
Peter Agelasto	9/25/17	Emails	Emails to coordinate a meeting at the Coleman Mill Site.						
Peter Agelasto	9/26/17	Emails	Emails to coordinate a meeting at the Coleman Mill Site.						

**Federal Agencies** 

**National Park Service** 

From: McDade, John [mailto:john\_mcdade@nps.gov]
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2017 1:47 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: richard.b.gangle@dominionenergy.com; ann.w.loomis@dominionenergy.com; Krueger, Mary; Molling, Suzette
Subject: Minor Edits to the ACP Archeological Report

Bill,

We have received a response from the VA SHPO regarding the ACP project. They have asked that a few minor changes be made to the final report for this project. Their comments regarding the report follow.

We have completed our review of the archaeological report titled, *Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Blue Ridge Parkway.* The report was prepared by William F. Stanyard *et al.* of Environmental Resources Management in July 2016. I am pleased to inform you that the report is generally consistent with the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for Archeological Documentation* (48 FR 44734-37), and DHR's *Guidelines for Conducting Historic Resources Survey in Virginia* (2011). We have only minor editorial comments which may be addressed in the final report. To be consistent with our state guidelines, the title page of the report should include the DHR File Numbers, here 2016-0935 and 2014-1194. In addition both the Abstract (page i), Introduction (page 1-1) Conclusion (page 6-73) state that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FREC) is the lead federal agency for this project. However, it is our understanding that the National Park Service has not designated the FERC as the lead federal agency but prefers to remain individually responsible for its own compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended and this should be clearly stated within the final report.

We look forward to receiving copies of the final report for this project. Please note that we now require only one hard copy. As the spiral binding on the reports can be opened to allow substitution of revised pages, it may not be necessary to provide a complete final report. The revised pages may simply be submitted by e-mail. We do ask, however, that we receive an electronic version of the final report (which may also be submitted by email).

Please send the updated report to Ethel Eaton (<u>ethel.eaton@dhr.virginia.gov</u>) at the SHPO. Please send an updated version to me as well. As with the SHPO, updated pages to be inserted into the report are sufficient.

If you have any questions feel free to call or email.

Best regards,

John

John McDade Cultural Resources Manager Blue Ridge Parkway 828-348-3438 199 Hemphill Knob Road Asheville, NC 28803



## U.S. Forest Service – George Washington National Forest

From: Madden, Michael J -FS [mailto:mjmadden@fs.fed.us]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Richard B Gangle (Services - 6); Adams, Jennifer - FS
Cc: Karriker, Kent S -FS
Subject: RE: ACP - GWNF Cultural Management Summary

#### All,

Until a report of the Phase I survey comes across my desk for review no authorization for Phase II investigations or concurrence with findings will occur.



Mike Madden Forest Archaeologist Forest Service

George Washington & Jefferson National Forests p: 540-265-5211 mjmadden@fs.fed.us 5162 Valleypointe Parkway Roanoke, VA 24019

From: Richard B Gangle (Services - 6) [mailto:richard.b.gangle@dom.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 04, 2016 2:02 PM
To: Adams, Jennifer - FS <jenniferpadams@fs.fed.us>
Cc: Karriker, Kent S -FS <karriker@fs.fed.us>; Madden, Michael J -FS <mjmadden@fs.fed.us>
Subject: ACP - GWNF Cultural Management Summary

Jennifer – We have completed the cultural resources Phase 1 studies within the GWNF and are preparing the report for submittal, before the end of August. One concern that we previously discussed is that there's a section that has several sites that are potentially eligible for listing. Out of caution, ACP did not perform the soil surveys in this area to avoid disturbance until cultural surveys could be completed. As we discussed, ACP created the attached Management Summary for this section to provide the USFS with information that we hope can aide in expediting ACP's authorization to perform Phase 2 investigations in this area. The completion of the Phase 2 investigations would hopefully allow the soil surveys to be completed without concern for impacting cultural resources.

ACP would appreciate if the USFS would review this Management Summary for concurrence and have a call to discuss proceeding forward with Phase 2 investigations in this section.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks

**Richard Gangle** 

Energy Infrastructure Environmental Services Dominion Resources Services, Inc. Phone: 804-273-2814 Cell: 804-229-7026

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This electronic message contains information which may be legally confidential and or privileged and does not in any case represent a firm ENERGY COMMODITY bid or offer relating thereto which binds the sender without an additional express written confirmation to that effect. The information is intended solely for the individual or entity named above and access by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please reply immediately to the sender that you have received the message in error, and delete it. Thank you.

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

#### Jonathan Glenn

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Madden, Michael J -FS <mjmadden@fs.fed.us> Friday, March 31, 2017 3:59 PM Jonathan Glenn Adams, Jennifer - FS; Guercin, Richard - FS Phase II expansions on 44AU780,781,917 and 918

Hi John,

I wanted to contact you and give you a heads up that I've had a chance to finish reviewing the Phase II report submitted on sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917 and 44AU0918. The work and testing strategy is excellent and I have nothing but a high regard for you and your fellow archaeologists. However, there is a requirement for the Phase II testing to be continued and I wanted to contact you as soon as possible.

According to our guidelines and also the guidelines as established by VDHR it is necessary for the entire site to undergo Phase II investigations, not just the areas that would be potentially impacted by the project implementation. You have performed a great task in investigation and your teams have collected excellent information on the parts of the sites that are located within the pipelines immediate APE but, not for the entire site locale. To perform a true evaluation of the evidence the entire site locale must undergo Phase II testing. Due to this requirement and, after careful review of the report and data submitted I am requiring that the Phase II investigations continue on 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918. The site data as submitted for these four is incomplete and further testing and data recovery is required to be implemented across the entire locale of these cultural resources. MJM



Mike Madden Forest Archaeologist Forest Service George Washington & Jefferson National Forests p: 540-265-5211

5162 Valleypointe Parkway Roanoke, VA 24019

mjmadden@fs.fed.us

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any

unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.

Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060 DominionEnergy.com



September 27, 2017

BY OVERNIGHT (OR EXPRESS) MAIL

Ms. Jennifer Adams U.S. Forest Service 5162 Valleypointe Parkway Roanoke, Virginia 24019

#### Subject: Dominion Energy Transmission, Inc., Atlantic Coast Pipeline: Submittal of Technical Report; Phase II Archaeological Testing at Sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918, George Washington National Forest, Augusta County, Virginia. Revised September 27, 2017

#### Dear Ms. Adams:

On January 25, 2017, Dominion Energy Transmission, Inc. submitted results of Phase II Archaeological Testing at six sites (44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, 44AU0918) in the George Washington National Forest (GWNF) conducted by GAI Consultants, Inc. (GAI) as part of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP) Project (Project). The GWNF required that the Phase II archaeological studies be expanded to include portions of four sites (44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0917, 44AU0918) that extend outside the defined Area of Potential Effect to fully delineate each site boundary and evaluate the entire site. In June and July 2017, GAI conducted additional Phase II archaeological fieldwork for these four sites in accordance with an amended Phase II focused Permit FS2700-32. The report submitted with this letter documents the combined results of both sessions of Phase II archaeological excavation and analyses.

All six sites contain precontact-period lithic scatters. A few pieces of precontact-period ceramic were also recovered from Site 44AU0781. A small historic-period artifact scatter, as well as remains of a charcoal hearth related to iron furnace fuel production, were encountered at Site 44AU0917; although those are a minor component of the site. Sites 44AU0914 and 44AU0915 are sparse lithic scatters and no diagnostics or cultural features were identified. Each of these sites is recommended as Not Eligible for National Register listing. Site 44AU0780 is a low density lithic scatter with diagnostic artifacts from multiple temporal periods; however, the diagnostics were recovered from mixed contexts. No intact precontact-period cultural features were identified. Site 44AU0780 is also recommended as Not Eligible for National Register listing. Sites 44AU0781, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918 each contain extensive and dense artifact scatters. Each of these three sites contain diagnostic artifacts from multiple temporal periods but they were present at each site in mixed contexts. No intact precontact-period cultural features were identified. The historic-period component of Site 44AU0917 is not considered significant. Therefore, GAI recommends that Sites 44AU0781, 44AU0917, and 44AU918 each be considered Not Eligible for National Register listing. Based on the findings at each of the six sites subjected to Phase II investigation, the Project in this area should be allowed to proceed as

Ms. Jennifer Adams September 27, 2017 Page 2 of 2

currently designed without further archaeological study. However, if project plans change, and areas outside the investigations conducted as part of this report are to be impacted, additional archaeological studies may be required.

Atlantic is requesting your review and concurrence of the enclosed technical report presenting the expanded Phase II archaeological investigations in the GWNF.

We look forward to continuing to work with you on the ACP. Please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, if there are questions regarding this report. Please direct written responses to:

Richard B. Gangle Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Sincerely

Richard Gangle Manager, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

- Cc: Michael J. Madden (George Washington National Forest) Jonathan Glenn (GAI Consultants, Inc.)
- Enclosure: Technical Report, Phase II Archaeological Testing at Sites 44AU0780, 44AU0781, 44AU0914, 44AU0915, 44AU0917, and 44AU0918, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, George Washington National Forest, Augusta County, Virginia. Revised September 27, 2017.

State/Commonwealth Agencies

West Virginia Division of Culture and History



**The Culture Center** 1900 Kanawha Blvd., E. Charleston, WV 25305-0300

Randall Reid-Smith, Commissioner

Phone 304.558.0220 • www.wvculture.org Fax 304.558.2779 • TDD 304.558.3562 EEO/AA Employer

April 15, 2016

Mr. Robert Bisha Project Director Atlantic Coast Pipeline Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, VA 23060

RE: Atlantic Coast Pipeline; FERC Docket # PF15-6-000 FR#: 15-171-MULTI-12

Dear Mr. Bisha:

We have reviewed the draft report titled *Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, West Virginia Components, Seasons 1 and 2*, which was submitted for the above referenced project to determine potential effects to cultural resources. As required by Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, and its implementing regulations, 36 CFR 800: "Protection of Historic Properties," we submit our comments.

The draft report combines the results from archaeological survey conducted during Season 1, which were previously reported upon, and Season 2. In total, approximately 2,898 acres have been surveyed. This includes all but 2.6 miles of the originally proposed pipeline route, one compressor station, three contractor yards, one M&R station and 141 access roads. It is our understanding that the remaining 2.6 miles of the route will be surveyed once landowner access is obtained. It is also our understanding that the 18.6-mile stretch of the pipeline traversing the Monongahela National Forest has been abandoned and that the survey results are not included in this report.

#### Archaeological Resources:

In previous correspondence dated July 23, 2015 and October 21, 2015 we provided comments regarding the eligibility of the following archaeological sites and isolated finds that were identified during Season 1 fieldwork: 46LE86, 46PH771, 46PH772, 46RD721, 46RD723, 46RD724, 46UP326, 46UP327 and 46UP328. We remain in concurrence with our previous determination that these resources are not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

The fieldwork conducted during Season 2 resulted in the identification of one new archaeological site, 46LE88, which consists of a surface scatter of architectural remains associated with a dilapidated historic period structure. In addition to the structure, the resource includes a modern outbuilding with a poured concrete foundation and a stone-lined well. Shovel probes were negative for cultural material. It is our understanding that this resource is located adjacent to an access road and will not be impacted by the proposed project. We concur that the archaeological component is not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. However, because the dilapidated structures still retain roofs and walls, they should be documented on a WV Historic Property Inventory (HPI) Form. If an HPI form has not yet been submitted for our review, please do so.

As indicated in the report, our records reflect that three previously documented sites, 46UP130, 46UP133 and 46UP307, extend into the proposed survey corridor. Sites 46UP130 and 46UP133 were documented in 1964 as a prehistoric village and camp, respectively, but little additional information is listed on the site forms. Site 46UP307 dates to the historic period and was recorded in 2002. Our records reflect that it was identified during a survey

April 15, 2016 Mr. Bisha FR# 15-171-MULTI-12 Page 2

conducted for the Lane Ridge (Sago) Surface Mine and was considered at that time to be not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. It is our understanding that pedestrian survey and shovel probe excavation in the documented locations of these sites were negative for cultural material. The report also states that no cultural material or above ground resources were identified within the study area in the vicinity of the Cheat Mountain and Camp Allegheny American Battlefield and that this portion of the proposed project has been abandoned for a revised route.

Aside from the 2.6 miles of the proposed pipeline corridor that still needs to be surveyed, the report recommends conducting geomorphological investigations within the study area between mileposts 55.6 and 57.0, which is where the pipeline crosses the Tygart River. It is our understanding that this is the only area within the currently proposed study corridor that may have the potential for deeply buried archaeological deposits. We concur with this recommendation and look forward to reviewing the results when they become available.

Finally, the report states that two archaeological sites and two isolated finds were documented as part of this survey, but that they are located in places that have been abandoned by the proposed project. For clarity, we ask that the trinomial numbers for these resources be provided in a subsequent report. We also ask that final inventory forms and shape files be submitted for these resources so we can add them to our records.

#### Cemetery Resources:

In previous correspondence dated July 23, 2015 and October 21, 2015 we provided comments regarding the eligibility of the following cemeteries: 46LE74, 46RD722, 46RD725 and 46UP331. We remain in concurrence with our previous determination that these resources are not eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

In addition, it is our understanding that the Elbon Cemetery (46UP319) is located adjacent to an access road that will be used by the proposed project. The cemetery was first documented with my office in 2012 and contains approximately 133 burials dating from 1841 to 2012. It is our understanding that the current survey expanded the cemetery boundary to include the maintained lawn that surrounds the burials. Archival research indicates the cemetery contains the graves of members of the families that have successively owned the land, including that of James Tenney, the original landowner who settled in the area in 1820. We concur that the cemetery does not meet the National Register criteria and criteria considerations and is not eligible for listing. It is our understanding, however, that the cemetery will be avoided by all temporary and permanent construction easements.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you have questions regarding our comments or the Section 106 process, please contact Lora A Lamarre-DeMott, Senior Archaeologist, at (304) 558-0240.

Sincerely,

Susan M. Pierce Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer

SMP/LLD

Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060 DominionEnergy.com



September 26, 2017

Ms. Susan M. Pierce Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer West Virginia Division of Culture and History 1900 Kanawha Boulevard, East Charleston, West Virginia 25305-0300

#### Subject: Section 106 Review – Phase I Archaeological Survey Report Season 6 Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project FR#: 14-928-Multi

Dear Ms. Pierce:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed addendum archaeological survey report on investigations conducted for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed report, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed report, please contact me at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

Richard B. Gangle Manager, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Enclosure: Phase I Archaeological Survey Report Season 6

Virginia Department of Historic Resources



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources **Department of Historic Resources** 2801 Kensington Avenue, Richmond, Virginia 23221 Julie V. Langan Director

Tel: (804) 367-2323 Fax: (804) 367-2391 www.dhr.virginia.gov

July 31, 2015

Mr. William A. Scarpinato Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060

Re: Phase I Archaeological Survey for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia Components – Field Season 1 DHR File No. 2014-0710

Dear Mr. Scarpinato:

The Department of Historic Resources (DHR) has received the report referenced above prepared by Natural Resource Group, LLC. Our comments are provided as assistance to Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in meeting their collective responsibility under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

This study represents the archaeological survey of 169.3 miles of the 282.2-mile pipeline corridor. The remaining length of corridor, access roads, and staging areas will be surveyed and reported on in subsequent studies. DHR has questions concerning the archaeological methods and other technical aspects of the report and requests that the comments below be addressed in a revised report:

- 1. The probability model presented in Section 4.2 is generally acceptable; however, DHR requests that a series of maps be provided that shows those areas considered high and low probability along the length of the corridor so that we may fully understand the level of testing in specific areas. Civil War battlefields should be factored into the probability model.
- 2. Section 4.2.3 (General Field Methods) should include provisions for assessing the presence or potential for deeply buried sites in areas of colluvial and alluvial deposition. Mechanical trenching and/or professional geomorphological assessment are recommended. Additionally, special consideration should be given to survey within Civil War battlefields. Traditional shovel testing should be supplemented with systematic metal detection when the survey area crosses battlefield lands.

Administrative Services 10 Courthouse Ave. Petersburg, VA 23803 Tel: (804) 862-6408 Fax: (804) 862-6196 Eastern Region Office 2801 Kensington Avenue Richmond, VA 23221 Tel: (804) 367-2323 Fax: (804) 367-2391 Western Region Office 962 Kime Lane Salem, VA 24153 Tel: (540) 387-5443 Fax: (540) 387-5446 Northern Region Office 5357 Main Street PO Box 519 Stephens City, VA 22655 Tel: (540) 868-7029 Fax: (540) 868-7033 Page 2 July 31, 2015 DHR File No. 2014-0710

- 3. Shovel testing within caves and rockshelters/overhangs must be permitted by the Department of Conservation and Recreation, Natural Heritage Division pursuant to the Cave Protection Act (§ 10.1-1000 Code of Virginia).
- 4. DHR cannot concur with National Register eligibility recommendations for sites that are not fully delineated. We recommend full site delineation when possible; however, we are aware that this is not always feasible for linear projects. In situations where testing outside of the project corridor is not possible, recommendations should be made that address the potential for site components within the project area to contribute to the overall eligibility of the site. The report narrative should clearly state which boundaries have been archaeologically delineated and in which directions the site may extend. Where possible, site boundaries should be estimated.
- 5. Additional effort is necessary to delineate the identified cemeteries within the project corridor. Boundaries based on visible features, fence lines, or oral traditions are not generally reliable. To effectively avoid the cemeteries, we recommend mechanical stripping or manual trenching to identify the location of burials, establishing sufficient buffers, mapping of the buffers on project plans, and isolation fencing during construction.
- 6. To facilitate archiving, hardcopy reports submitted to DHR should be comb-bound.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. Please provide a revised survey report when available. If you have any questions regarding these comments or our review of this project, please do not hesitate to contact me at <u>roger.kirchen@dhr.virginia.gov</u>.

Sincerely

Roger W. Kirchen, Director Review and Compliance Division

Administrative Services 10 Courthouse Ave. Petersburg, VA 23803 Tel: (804) 862-6408 Fax: (804) 862-6196 Eastern Region Office 2801 Kensington Avenue Richmond, VA 23221 Tel: (804) 367-2323 Fax: (804) 367-2391 Western Region Office 962 Kime Lane Salem, VA 24153 Tel: (540) 387-5443 Fax: (540) 387-5446 Northern Region Office 5357 Main Street PO Box 519 Stephens City, VA 22655 Tel: (540) 868-7029 Fax: (540) 868-7033 MEETING WITH (COMPANY/AGENCY):

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

DATE:

LOCATION: Richmond, VA

September 12, 2017 ATTENDEES AND THEIR AFFILIATION:

Angela Navarro, Deputy Secretary of Natural Resources Roger Kirchen, VDHR Mark Holma, VDHR (by phone) Ann Loomis, Dominion Energy Molly Plautz, Dominion Energy Richard Gangle, Dominion Energy David Dutton, Dutton and Associates Bill Stanyard, ERM (by phone) Emily Laird, ERM (by phone)

PREPARED BY:			
Molly Plautz			
MEETING MINUTES:			

#### **General Update**

- Discussion of the letter response from VDHR dated September 11, 2017, on the assessment of effects.
- Atlantic requested a status update on the review of archeological survey reports and treatment plans.

#### Discussion

- Atlantic asked for clarification regarding assessing effects for the seven historic structures highlighted in the VDHR letter where access is limited. VDHR advised that once access is granted and additional visual surveys can be completed, phased mitigation based on pre-defined treatment options may be appropriate (i.e. if structures are visible, Atlantic would mitigate using methods specified in advance).
- Regarding impacts to the Wilderness property, VDHR asked Atlantic to resubmit the assessment of effects removing the access road for their re-evaluation.
- Regarding impacts to the South Rockfish Valley and Warminster Historic Districts, VDHR advised that Atlantic should schedule a meeting with Nelson County and the two historical societies to discuss impacts and possible mitigation.
- Mitigation should take into account the contributing resources in each district and include a public education component.
- VDHR advised that they are continuing to work with the National Park Service on assessing effects to the Blue Ridge Parkway.
- VDHR asked Dominion Energy to re-evaluate the Coleman Mills site to determine the proximity of the workspace to the site.

#### Follow-up

- Atlantic to schedule a meeting with Nelson County, Nelson County Historical Society, South Rockfish Valley Historic District, and VDHR.
- Atlantic to respond to VDHR's September 11, 2017, letter and re-submit the assessment of effects document based on comments received.

Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060 DominionEnergy.com



September 26, 2017

Mr. Roger Kirchen, Director Review and Compliance Division Virginia Department of Historic Resources 2801 Kensington Ave. Richmond, VA 23221

#### Subject: Section 106 Review –Phase I Archaeology Survey Report Addendum 7 Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project DHR File No. 2014-0710

Dear Mr. Kirchen:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed addendum archaeology survey report on investigations conducted for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (ACP). The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for this Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report pursuant to the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed report, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed report, please contact me at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully-submitted,

Richard B. Gangle Manager, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

Enclosure: Phase I Archaeology Survey Report Addendum 7



COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Molly Joseph Ward Secretary of Natural Resources **Department of Historic Resources** 2801 Kensington Avenue, Richmond, Virginia 23221 Julie V. Langan Director

Tel: (804) 367-2323 Fax: (804) 367-2391 www.dhr.virginia.gov

September 27, 2017

Mr. Richard B. Gangle Dominion Resources Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060

# Re: *Phase II Investigations for the Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project, Virginia, Sites 44AU0873, 44AU0907, 44NT0302, 44SN0304, and 44SN0305* DHR File No. 2014-0710

Dear Mr. Gangle:

The Department of Historic Resources (DHR) has received the report referenced above prepared by Environmental Resource Management (ERM). It is our opinion that this report meets DHR's *Survey Guidelines* and other applicable standards. Our comments are provided as assistance to Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in meeting their collective responsibility under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

These Phase II evaluations consider the eligibility of five (5) sites for listing in the Virginia Landmarks Register (VLR) and National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological subcommittee of our Department's National Register Eligibility Evaluation Team concurs that site **44AU0907** is <u>eligible</u> for listing in the VLR/NRHP and that sites **44AU0873**, **44NT0302**, **44SN0304**, and **44SN0305** are <u>not eligible</u> for VLR/NRHP listing. We acknowledge receipt of the data recovery plan for site 44AU0907 and comments on that document are forthcoming.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this work. If you have any questions regarding these comments or our review of this project, please do not hesitate to contact me at <u>roger.kirchen@dhr.virginia.gov</u>.

Sincerely.

Roger W. Kirchen, Director Review and Compliance Division

c. Mr. Bill Stanyard, ERM

Western Region Office 962 Kime Lane Salem, VA 24153 Tel: (540) 387-5443 Fax: (540) 387-5446 Northern Region Office 5357 Main Street PO Box 519 Stephens City, VA 22655 Tel: (540) 868-7029 Fax: (540) 868-7033 Eastern Region Office 2801 Kensington Avenue Richmond, VA 23221 Tel: (804) 367-2323 Fax: (804) 367-2391 North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources

Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060 DominionEnergy.com



September 26, 2017

Renee Gledhill-Earley State Historic Preservation Office 109 East Jones Street, Room 258 Raleigh, NC 27601

Subject: Section 106 Review –Addendum 6 North Carolina Phase I Archaeological Survey Report, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC, Atlantic Coast Pipeline Project File No. Multi-County ER 14-1475

Dear Ms. Gledhill-Earley:

Atlantic Coast Pipeline, LLC (Atlantic) is requesting review and comment on the enclosed addendum archaeological survey report, which describes results for surveys completed between June 1 and September 1, 2017, for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline (Project). The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is the lead Federal agency for the Project. Atlantic's consultant, ERM, conducted the survey and prepared the enclosed report to assist FERC in complying with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended.

Atlantic would appreciate your comments on the enclosed report, and we look forward to continuing to work with you on this Project. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed report, please contact Richard B. Gangle at (804) 273-2814 or Richard.B.Gangle@dominionenergy.com, or by letter at:

Richard B. Gangle Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Respectfully submitted,

Robert M. Bisha Technical Advisor, Atlantic Coast Pipeline

cc: Richard Gangle (Dominion Energy)

Enclosure: Addendum 6: North Carolina Phase I Archaeological Survey Report



North Carolina Department of Natural and Cultural Resources

State Historic Preservation Office

Ramona M. Bartos, Administrator

Governor Roy Cooper Secretary Susi H. Hamilton

September 26, 2017

Office of Archives and History Deputy Secretary Kevin Cherry

Richard B. Gangle Dominion Energy Services, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060

Richard.B.Gangle@dom.com

RE: Phase I Architectural Survey Assessment and Evaluation of Effects, Atlantic Coast Pipeline, Multi-County, ER 14-1485

Dear Mr. Gangle:

We are in receipt of Robert Bisha's July 27, 2017, letter transmitting the above-referenced assessment for the proposed Atlantic Coast Pipeline through several counties in North Carolina. We apologize for our delayed response caused by a severe personal shortage.

Having reviewed the results of the several architectural surveys, we concur that the properties shown in Table 1 (pages 26-34) are not eligible for listing in the National Register, barring additional information to the contrary, and that the properties shown in Table 2 (pages 34-36) are no longer in the pipeline's current Area of Potential Effects (APE). We also concur that the properties shown in Table 3 (page 37) appear eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places for the reasons outlined in the survey reports.

Based on the information provided in the assessment of effects, we agree that the proposed undertaking, as currently planned, will not adversely affect the sixteen (16) National Register-listed and eligible properties within the APE. We would also reiterate that the above findings are limited to above ground resources and do not address any archaeological resources within the undertaking's APE.

The above comments are offered in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the regulations of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation at 36 CFR 800. Should you have questions concerning them, please contact our Environmental Review Coordinator Renee Gledhill-Earley at 919-807-6579 or renee.gledhill-earley@ncdcr.gov.

Sincerely,

Pence Gerdhill-Earley

Ramona M. Bartos

cc: Robert M. Bisha, ACP

**Other Parties** 

**Rockfish Valley Foundation** 

From: peter.agelasto@gmail.com [mailto:peter.agelasto@gmail.com]
On Behalf Of Peter Agelasto
Sent: Sunday, September 24, 2017 12:10 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: Kirchen, Roger (DHR)
Subject: RVF Spruce Creek --Coleman Mills -- archaeology -- site 44NE0209

Your name was supplied by Roger Kirchen of va dept of hist resources. I have copied him. He said you were the person who was to respond to the FERC request on ACP that i describe below. He sent you the formal notification. I lost the card of the person with whom i met; was that you? If that is wrong he and I need to know how to reach the right person.

Can you determine who in your company lead the Dominion survey work June 10 in Nelson County Va and in particular at the Wintergreen Country Store Land Trust site in Nelson County this summer? I met with him. You all are being required to provide added study to FERC.

If this is not your area whom do we contact? Thanks

If not already the below in blue will catch up to you soon. looks like FERC requires a reply quickly. A number of us have done significant research since ERM was on site. For example we found a deed that showed the Brady house (old chimney) next to the mill pond at what is now Spruce Creek Bridge. We also found a VDOT highway plat showing the present and future of the area between Glenthorne Loop road and S Rockfish River crossing by the proposed Rt 151 in 1936. It shows the mill trace at both points. we also took a photo at Bold Rock which shows the trace.

How can we help you establish these facts? I invite you to return to meet with me. I leave the country oct 10 until early November . let me know. We feel strongly that a formal Phase I archeology study which we feel will result in the need for a Phase II should be undertaken. I repeat again that FERC representative told me many months ago Phase I would be required before construction when they met on site with your predecessor consultant and others.

I look forward to meeting with you soon. SEE BELOW

Peter

On 9/11/2017 VA DHR notified Dominion: "Because the South Rockfish Valley Rural Historic District derives much of its historic significance from its rural setting and feeling, the construction of the pipeline through the resource's boundary and in a manner that will be visible from contributing resources within the historic district, DHR considers the diminishment to these characteristics to be adverse.

# On 9/20/2017 VA DHR was notified that "FERC has requested that ACP more thoroughly consider Coleman Mill and the project's potential impacts on this resource."

#### peter agelasto

site 44NE0209 is the remains of the Coleman Mill, as stated in the August 24, 2017 letter from the Nelson County Historical Society. Provide a revised report of the additional analysis and assessment of the site. As indicated by any new findings, consider whether it is a contributing resource to the South Rockfish Valley Rural Historic District. Update the site forms as needed.

Peter Agelasto

--

Peter A. Agelasto, III President Rockfish Valley Foundation (434) 226-0446 info@rockfishvalley.org From: Peter Agelasto [mailto:info@rockfishvalley.org]
Sent: Monday, September 25, 2017 11:33 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: Re: RVF Spruce Creek --Coleman Mills -- archaeology -- site 44NE0209

Bill lets have a phone call to discuss and pick a date. I am busy most of tomorrow but will try to call. Andy wright and i continue to find more in the records and on site. I would like to understand if you agree this resource is important. We could not understand the comment it was 75 to 95 % obliterated. The trace and mill site is the reverse. Also the mill wheel is now being returned . A federal grant is removing the protective invasives layer

Peter

On Mon, Sep 25, 2017 at 5:52 PM Bill Stanyard <<u>Bill.Stanyard@erm.com</u>> wrote:

Mr. Agelasto:

Thank you for your email. Roger Kirchen sent us the material you provided to the VDHR regarding Coleman Mill and the South Rockfish Valley Historic District. We are currently reviewing that information and crafting a response to FERC's recent data request dated September 20, 2017, regarding Coleman Mill and associated resources. We would be glad to set up a meeting the first week of October if you can provide your preferred dates and times. I look forward to hearing from you.

#### William Stanyard

Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM

3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096

Mobile: 404 317 0543 E <u>bill.stanyard@erm.com</u> | W <u>www.erm.com</u>

The business of sustainability

From: Peter Agelasto [mailto:info@rockfishvalley.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2017 4:25 PM
To: Bill Stanyard
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: Re: RVF Spruce Creek --Coleman Mills -- archaeology -- site 44NE0209

Bill how about oct 5or 6. Some time available each day. I will try and call now also

Peter

From: Bill Stanyard
Sent: Tuesday, September 26, 2017 9:10 AM
To: Peter Agelasto
Cc: Molly P Plautz; Pat Robblee; Richard B Gangle
Subject: RE: RVF Spruce Creek --Coleman Mills -- archaeology -- site 44NE0209

Mr. Agelasto:

I will have limited cell coverage for the next few days, but will make myself available for whatever day you choose (I am available from October 4<sup>th</sup> onward). I look forward to discussing the mill and associated resources during our meeting and field visit.

Thanks.

William Stanyard Senior Archaeologist; Director CR Services

ERM 3300 Breckinridge Blvd, Suite 300, Duluth, GA 30096

Mobile: 404 317 0543 E <u>bill.stanyard@erm.com</u> I W <u>www.erm.com</u>



The business of sustainability